

# Annexure 8

## Transport Impact Assessment Report

***Cape Winelands Airport  
Transport Impact Assessment  
Durbanville, Cape Town***

***Revision 2  
July 2025***



---

## SUMMARY SHEET

Report Type	Transport Impact Assessment
Title	Cape Winelands Airport
Location	Durbanville, Cape Town
Client	Cape Winelands Airfield Ltd
Reference Number	ITS 4334
Project Team	Johan Brink Nick Platte Junaid Gamieldien
Contact Details	Tel: 021 914 6211
Date	July 2025
Report Status	Revision 2
File Name	G:\4334 TIA Winelands Airport\12 Reports\Issued\4334 TIA Winelands Airport_Rev 2 TIA_JG_2025-07-03.docx

---

## **SPECIALIST DECLARATION**

I, Johan C. Brink, declare that –

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.



---

Signature of the Specialist

**Date: 03 July 2025**



---

## ABRIDGED CV

Johan Brink

PR Eng., B.Eng (Civil), M.Eng (Transportation)

[johanb@itsglobal.co.za](mailto:johanb@itsglobal.co.za)

+27 21 914 6211

+27 82 415 8646

### Summary of Experience

Johan is a highly experienced Civil Engineer specialising in Transport Engineering, with over 25 years of expertise in the field. He has been involved in numerous transport impact studies, including notable projects such as the Cape Town Stadium during the World Cup Soccer and the Cape Town International Airport.

His expertise includes transport planning and traffic engineering, with successful projects such as Integrated Transport Plans, Public Transport Network Plans, Development Charge Policies, and Road Safety Strategies. He has worked with public sector clients, including the Department of Transport, SANRAL, and various municipal road authorities.

Johan has also contributed to international projects in countries such as Tanzania, Zambia, Nigeria, Lesotho and Botswana. Additionally, he gained global experience during his time at Kittelson & Associates, Inc. in Portland, Oregon, USA.

In his current role as Technical Director at ITS, Johan focuses on transport impact studies, masterplans, urban transport projects, public transport strategies, development charges, strategic transport planning, and geometric designs.

He is a Fellow Member of the South African Institute of Civil Engineers (SAICE) and actively participates in the Transport Division Committee. Johan is committed to delivering effective and high-quality innovative transport solutions both in South Africa and internationally.

Johan Brink has developed skills and expertise in the following areas:

- Transport Impact Assessments: Development TIAs
- Transport Master Planning: Road Master Planning and Access Management Plans (AMP),
- Traffic calming measures, detailed intersection planning and design
- Public Transport Planning: Public transport integrated network plans (IPTN), Pedestrian and bicycle facilities planning, operations and design.
- Road Safety
- Project management, tenders, construction management
- Geometric Road Designs and Traffic Signal Design
- Development Charge Policies
- Transport Economics

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1	Background.....	1
1.2	Study Area Description.....	2
2	EXISTING TRANSPORT.....	4
2.1	Existing Road Network .....	4
2.2	Road Reserves .....	5
2.2.1	Lichtenburg Road .....	5
2.2.2	Mellish Road .....	6
2.2.3	Klipheuwel Road .....	7
2.3	Study Intersections.....	7
2.4	2024 Existing Traffic Conditions .....	7
2.5	Public Transport .....	9
2.6	Pedestrians and Cyclists .....	10
2.7	Freight .....	10
3	FUTURE TRANSPORT PLANNING .....	11
3.1	Road Network Planning.....	11
3.2	Future Developments.....	11
3.2.1	Development on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168 .....	12
3.2.2	Industrial development on Erf 1690 .....	13
3.2.3	Industrial development on Erven 1693 and 1870 .....	14
3.2.4	Storage Facility on Portion 32 of Farm 168 .....	14
3.2.5	Apollo Bricks.....	14
3.2.6	Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4.....	14
3.2.7	Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5.....	15
3.2.8	Bella Riva .....	16
3.2.9	Greenville Garden City .....	17
3.3	Background Traffic Growth .....	18
3.3.1	Historical Traffic Growth.....	18
3.3.2	Future Developments and Traffic Growth Assumptions .....	20
3.4	Public Transport Network Planning .....	21
3.5	Future Pedestrian and Cycle Network Planning .....	22
4	ROAD ACCESS MANAGEMENT.....	24
5	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: CAPE WINELANDS AIRPORT .....	27
5.1	Proposed Use .....	27
5.2	Opportunities to Access the Road Network System .....	27
5.2.1	Option 1: Access via Mellish Road / Lichtenburg Road (R312).....	27
5.2.2	Option 2: Access via Mellish Road / Klipheuwel Road (R302) .....	28
5.2.3	Option 3: Access via Lucullus Road extension .....	28
5.3	Airport Phasing.....	29
5.4	Estimated Vehicle Trip Generation .....	29
5.4.1	Trip Generation Rates .....	29
5.4.2	Daily Trip Generation .....	32
5.4.3	Peak Hour Trip Generation .....	32
5.5	Estimated Trip Distribution and Assignment .....	33

5.6	Public Transport .....	33
5.7	Pedestrians and Cyclists .....	34
5.8	Freight / Cargo.....	34
5.9	Site Circulation (Road Based Transport) .....	35
5.10	Internal Road Requirements .....	35
5.11	Parking.....	37
6	2029 CAPACITY ANALYSIS .....	37
6.1	2029 Background Traffic Conditions .....	37
6.2	2029 Total Traffic Conditions .....	39
6.3	2029 Total Traffic Conditions – Sensitivity Analysis.....	40
7	2032 CAPACITY ANALYSIS .....	41
7.1	2032 Background Traffic Conditions .....	41
7.2	2032 Total Traffic Conditions .....	41
8	2050 CAPACITY ANALYSIS .....	43
9	DEVELOPMENT CHARGES .....	45
9.1	2029 Horizon .....	46
9.2	2032 Horizon .....	47
9.3	2050 Horizon .....	47
10	COST ESTIMATES.....	49
10.1	Intersection Upgrade Costs.....	49
10.2	Road Link Upgrade Costs.....	49
10.3	Road Network Upgrade Summary and Funding Responsibilities .....	50
11	CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC.....	52
12	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	53
	REFERENCES.....	57

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Concept Master Plan (source: Capex Projects).....	2
Figure 2: Locality Plan .....	3
Figure 3: Metropolitan Road & Rail Network (source: CCT CIP, 2023).....	4
Figure 4: Lichtenburg Road .....	5
Figure 5: Klipheuwel Road (source: Google Earth) .....	5
Figure 6: Koelenhof Road (source: Google Earth) .....	5
Figure 7: Provincial Roads (source: WCG, A Rath).....	6
Figure 8: OP6/8 (Mellish Road).....	7
Figure 9: Klipheuwel Road (MR188) AMP.....	9
Figure 10: Existing Railway Line (source: CCT CIP, 2023).....	10
Figure 11: Approved/In-process Developments.....	12
Figure 12: Proposed Cape Speciality Glass (CSG) Development on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168 (source: Project Plus International) .....	13
Figure 13: Bella Riva SDP (source: Sturgeon Consulting, 2024).....	17
Figure 14: Greenville Conceptual Land Use and Phasing Plan (source: mlh architects and planners).....	18
Figure 15: Klipheuwel Road (MR188) Station Data (source: WCG RNIS) .....	19
Figure 16: Koelenhof Road (MR174) Station Data (source: WCG RNIS).....	19
Figure 17: IPTN Plan 2032 (CIP, 2023) .....	22
Figure 18: Cycle Route Planning (CIP, 2023) .....	23

Figure 19: Lichtenburg Road (MR213) AMP .....	25
Figure 20: Roads Master Plan (RMP) .....	26
Figure 21: Site Access Opportunities .....	28
Figure 22: Cordon Counts Locations at CTIA .....	30
Figure 23: Airport Approach Road Counting Station Data .....	31
Figure 24: Peak Period CTIA Modal Split .....	34
Figure 25: Internal Roads – Subject to further refinement of the master plan and site plan.....	36
Figure 26: Typical Cross-sections (City of Cape Town Roads and Stormwater Standards, v3, Feb 2022) .....	36
Figure 27: Cape Metropolitan Transport Model – Future Network .....	43
Figure 28: Cape Metropolitan Transport Model – Future Network Capacities.....	44
Figure 29: Cape Metropolitan Transport Model – Future Public Transport Assignment.....	45

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Major Roadways in Site Vicinity .....	4
Table 2: Approved Land Uses and Expected Impact –Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168 .....	12
Table 3: Land Use and Expected Impact – Erf 1690.....	13
Table 4: Land Use and Expected Impact – Erven 1693 and 1870.....	14
Table 5: Land Use and Expected Impact – Portion 32 of Farm 168.....	14
Table 6: Land Use and Expected Impact – Portion 42 of Farm 168.....	14
Table 7: Updated (2024) Land Use and Expected Impact – Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4.....	15
Table 8: Land Use and Expected Impact – Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5 .....	15
Table 9: Land Use and Expected Impact – Bella Riva .....	16
Table 10: Land Use and Expected Impact – Greenville Garden City .....	18
Table 11: Historical Traffic Growth - Weekday AM Peak Hour .....	20
Table 12: Historical Traffic Growth - Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	20
Table 13: Minimum Access Spacing.....	24
Table 14: CWA Phasing .....	29
Table 15: CWA Land Use Extents .....	32
Table 16: CTIA Tracker Data.....	33
Table 17: 2029 Background Development Trips.....	37
Table 18: 2032 Background Development Trips.....	41
Table 19: CCT DC Calculator Inputs and Results for CWA 2029 Horizon .....	46
Table 20: CCT DC Calculator Inputs and Results for CWA 2032 Horizon .....	47
Table 21: CCT DC Calculator Inputs and Results for CWA 2050 Horizon .....	48
Table 22: High-level Estimated Development Charges .....	48
Table 23: High-level Intersection Cost Estimate .....	49
Table 24: High-level Road Link Cost Estimate.....	49

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

The developer is proposing to upgrade and redevelop the existing Fisantekraal Airfield into a new international airport for the region. The development will be divided into four Planning Activity Levels (PAL), based on projected airline traffic forecasts. The site is planned to be operational by 2029 (PAL 1A) with full build-out (PAL 4) projected around the year 2050. The environmental impact assessment (EIA) splits the PAL into two phases: Phase 1 (PAL 1A and 1B) and Phase 2 (PAL 2 to 4).

Phase 1 of the development will include a 3.5 km main runway and associated infrastructure to support the project land and air transport as well as other bulk services. The supplemental uses will be confirmed as the project develops. Forecasts for Phase 1 indicate 1.7 million annual passengers by 2029 (PAL 1A) and 2.5 million annual passengers by 2032 (PAL 1B). Forecasts for Phase 2 indicate airline traffic will reach 5.2 million annual passengers in the planning horizon of 2050 (PAL 4).

This report presents an assessment of the expected transport-related impacts for the site (hereafter referred to as the “CWA” – Cape Winelands Airport) in the context of the metropolitan-wide area, by identifying potential constraints in the surrounding road network and recommending suitable mitigation measures based on the current proposal and future transport networks.

The proposals raised are based on internal CWA planning team discussions, various meetings with the relevant City of Cape Town (CCT) and Western Cape Government (WCG) officials/representatives and those of Innovative Transport Solutions (ITS).

Figure 1 provides a concept showing the latest development of the site and space allocation. The master plan will be refined based on the continued professional team and relevant transport authority engagements and approval processes.





Figure 1: Concept Master Plan (source: Capex Projects)

## 1.2 Study Area Description

The proposed CWA is situated north of Lichtenburg Road (R312), east of Klipheuvel Road (R302) and west of Koelenhof Road (R304). The site will ultimately encompass the remainder of Farm 724 Joostenbergs Vlake (the entire portion) and a portion of the remainder of Farms 474 and P7/942 Joostenberg Kloof. The remaining portions of Farm 474 and 942 will remain agricultural. Figure 2 provides a locality plan and shows encompassing farm boundaries. Refer to the spatial planning and land use status report for further details regarding the site.

The site is surrounded by small holdings and farmland to the north, south and east and Fisantekraal residential area to the southwest. There are several planned developments in the area currently in the planning, design and implementation phases, which influence the transport network and substantially increase traffic volumes in the area.



Figure 2: Locality Plan



## 2 EXISTING TRANSPORT

The following section provides a summary of the existing transport networks and traffic volumes in the vicinity of the site.

### 2.1 Existing Road Network

Table 1 provides a summary of the existing major roadways in the site vicinity. The latest road classification is taken from the CCT's Public Right-of-Way (CITP, 2023) map for the metropolitan road network, as illustrated in an extract in Figure 3. The photographs in Figures 4 to 6 illustrate the existing road cross-sections.

Table 1: Major Roadways in Site Vicinity

Roadway	Classification*	Posted Speed (km/h)	Sidewalks	Bike Lanes
Klipheuwel Road (R302 / MR188)	Major Arterial (Class 2)	80 / 100	No	No
Lichtenburg Road (R312 / MR213)	Major Arterial (Class 2)	100	No	No
Koelenhof Road (R304 / MR174)	Major Arterial (Class 2)	100	No	No

\*Per CCT PRoW, 2023




Figure 3: Metropolitan Road & Rail Network (source: CCT CITP, 2023)






Figure 4: Lichtenburg Road



Figure 5: Klipheuwel Road (source: Google Earth)



Figure 6: Koelenhof Road (source: Google Earth)

## 2.2 Road Reserves

### 2.2.1 Lichtenburg Road

As confirmed with Andrew Raath from the WCG, the proclaimed road reserve of Lichtenburg Road (MR213) next to the site “is 32m as [indicated in] Provincial Notice (P.N.) 370/1982. However, if any section of the road has been fenced and the width is greater than the said (minimum) width then this can be taken as the road reserve width. If there are no fences, then the road reserve width will be 16m on either side of the existing centre line.”

The existing road bordering the west of the property is OP 6/8 (or continuation of Mellish Road). Figure 7 provides a plan showing the provincial roads and numbering. The road is mostly within the site boundary and as confirmed with WCG, the road has a proclaimed road reserve width of 20m as per Provincial Notice (P.N.) 1003/1977. However, if any section of the road has been fenced and the width is greater than the said (minimum) width then this can be taken as the road reserve width. If there are no fences, then the road reserve width will be 10m on either side of the existing centre line. See Figure 8 for the existing road cross-section of Mellish Road.




Figure 7: Provincial Roads (source: WCG, A Rath)



Figure 8: OP6/8 (Mellish Road)

### **2.2.3 Klipheuwel Road**

Klipheuwel Road (MR188) has a proclaimed road reserve of 25m, as per Road Ordinance 19/1679 Section 5. However, if any section of road has been fenced and the width is greater than the said (minimum) width then this can be taken as the road reserve width. If there are no fences, then the road reserve width will be 12.5m either side of the existing centre line.

## **2.3 Study Intersections**

There are 15 intersections included in the study area, refer to Figure A1 in Annexure A for the locations of these intersections and their existing controls. These intersections were analysed to determine the transport impact of the proposed development on the surrounding road network.

### **2.4 2024 Existing Traffic Conditions**

Traffic counts were conducted during the weekday AM and PM peak periods in March and April 2024. The assessment of the Existing Traffic Conditions is based on 2024 peak-period traffic volumes, as well as the current intersection geometries and controls. Operational analyses were done according to the procedures in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), evaluating each intersection for peak-hour level of service (LOS), delay per vehicle (in seconds), and volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio. Refer to Figures A2.1 and A2.2 in Annexure A for the capacity analysis results for the 2024 Existing Traffic Conditions.

The capacity analysis results indicate that most of the intersections currently operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours. The intersections operating at a poor LOS or at capacity include:

- Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road (Intersection 3) – Currently operates at a LOS F, due to long delays (> 50 seconds) and a V/C ratio greater than 1.0 during the AM and PM peak hours.
- Lichtenburg Road/Boys Biers Drive (Intersection 4) – Currently operates at a LOS F, due to long delays (> 50 seconds) during the PM peak hour.
- Klipheuwel Road/CSG Plastics Access (Intersection 8) – Currently operates at a LOS F, due to long delays (> 50 seconds) during the AM peak hour.



- Klipheuwel Road/Stamler Road (Intersection 9) – Currently operates at a LOS F, due to long delays (> 50 seconds) during the AM peak hour.
- Klipheuwel Road/Arum Lily Street (Intersection 10) – Currently operates at a LOS F, due to long delays (> 50 seconds) during the AM and PM peak hours.

The current traffic volumes at the Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road intersection warrant a traffic signal. Therefore, the following upgrades are recommended at this intersection:

- Northbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
- Southbound – Construct a dedicated left-turn lane.
- Westbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
- Intersection control – Install a traffic signal.

With this upgrade in place, the Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road intersection is expected to operate at an acceptable LOS B, as shown in Figure A2.3 in Annexure A.

The Lichtenburg Road/Boys Biers Drive intersection is planned to be converted to a left-in, left-out (LILO) configuration as per the Access Management Plan (AMP) for Lichtenburg Road (MR213), which is further discussed in Section 0. The MR213 AMP also recommended the realignment and signalisation of the Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street intersection. Additionally, the future development of Greenville Garden City will include completing the construction of the Lucullus Road southern extension and the East-West link road connecting to the Darwin Road extension (see Section 3.2.9). As a result, the demand at the Lichtenburg Road/Boys Biers Drive and Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street intersections is expected to reduce in the future. No upgrades to the Boys Biers intersection are therefore recommended until the dualling of Lichtenburg is justified.

The long-term dualled access management plan for Klipheuwel Road (MR188) is illustrated in Figure 9. The plan includes the realignment of the CSG Plastics Access to the Klipheuwel Road/Stamler Road intersection. The realigned priority-controlled intersection will be required once Stamler Road is upgraded to meet municipal standards as conditioned by the development of Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180, and Portion 13 of Farm 168 (see Section 3.2.1). The realigned intersection will include dedicated turning lanes for all the approaches. Additionally, the Klipheuwel Road/Arum Lily Street intersection is planned to be converted to a LILO configuration, as a result of the intersection spacing requirements of the future signalised Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road intersection.




Figure 9: Klipheuwel Road (MR188) AMP

Based on the recommendations from the MR213 and MR188 AMPs, as well as future planning for the area, no upgrades are recommended at the remaining constrained intersections. Upgrading these intersections for the existing scenario would be abortive given the future access plans for the main roads.

## 2.5 Public Transport

There are currently no dedicated public transport routes in the vicinity of the site. Fisantekraal is the nearest major residential node, and minibus taxis (MBTs) operate between the area and the larger Durbanville area.

The Fisantekraal railway line (Figure 10) currently provides one return commuter rail service per day between Cape Town and Malmesbury.




Figure 10: Existing Railway Line (source: CCT CIP, 2023)

## 2.6 Pedestrians and Cyclists

No dedicated exclusive pedestrian and cycling facilities are provided within the area. All pedestrians and cyclists make use of the existing roadway shoulders or gravel verges.

## 2.7 Freight

All three provincial main roads accommodate freight traffic. The frequency of freight via the Transnet rail is not known.

### **3 FUTURE TRANSPORT PLANNING**

The following section provides a summary of the known future transport planning based on City planning documents as well as more recent plans based on proposed developments in the area.

#### **3.1 Road Network Planning**

Figure 3 also shows the future road network and is based on future land use projections (Spatial Growth Options for Cape Town (City of Cape Town, 2012)). The projections may change over time to respond to economic markets and will in relation affect the planned road network. Route alignments may also change based on physical constraints not foreseen in the planning stages.

There are several planned north-south and east-west future roads in the site vicinity linking to the existing road network. The road that will likely be constructed first is the future Class 2 Lucullus Road extension from the N1 linking to Lichtenburg Road. The functional class of the road will change to a Class 3 minor arterial north of Lichtenburg Road. All future planned roads will be City-owned.

With the development and land uptake from the CWA, the east-west links currently crossing the site and well as north of the site are being amended in consultation with the City of Cape Town Urban Mobility Directorate to align with the latest development proposals.

#### **3.2 Future Developments**

There are multiple developments planned in the area, including:

1. Development on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168
2. Industrial development on Erf 1690
3. Industrial development on Erven 1693 and 1870
4. Storage Facility on Portion 32 of Farm 168
5. Apollo Bricks
6. Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4
7. Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5
8. Bella Riva
9. Greenville Garden City

The location of the planned developments in relation to the CWA is illustrated in Figure 11.






Figure 11: Approved/In-process Developments

The Bella Riva and Greenville Garden City developments are the two major developments in the vicinity of the CWA. Planning for both developments has been ongoing for several years, and conditions of approval have been imposed by the relevant governing authorities.

### 3.2.1 Development on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168

Portion 13 of Farm 168 was approved in 2019, and Remainder Farm 180 and Portion 3 of Farm 180 were approved in 2022. Given that these approvals are only valid for 5 years, an extension of time application was submitted and approved in November 2023 for Portion 13 of Farm 168. The current approvals are based on the land uses and extents summarised in Table 2. The development trips associated with the approved land uses are also detailed in the table.

Table 2: Approved Land Uses and Expected Impact –Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168

Erven	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Portion 13 of Cape Farm 168	Industrial	30 224 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	169	73	242	60	181	241
	General Business	1 987 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	30	16	46	131	131	262
Remainder of Cape Farm 180	Industrial	39 078 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	219	94	313	78	234	312
	General Business	1 254 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	19	10	29	83	83	165
Portion 3 of Cape Farm 180	Industrial	29 597 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	166	71	237	59	178	237
	General Business	570 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	9	5	14	38	38	76
Total		102 710 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	612	269	881	449	845	1 293

The approved land uses (102 710 m<sup>2</sup> GLA) were initially expected to generate a total of 881 trips during the weekday AM peak hour and 1 293 trips during the weekday PM peak hour. However, the



latest proposal for the three erven, which includes a 135 867 m<sup>2</sup> GLA glass factory (see Figure 12), is expected to generate only 58 trips per hour (33 private vehicle trips and 25 heavy vehicle trips). This indicates a significant reduction in traffic impact compared to the approved projections.




Figure 12: Proposed Cape Speciality Glass (CSG) Development on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168 (source: Project Plus International)

In September 2023, ITS conducted a traffic survey at the existing 70 000 m<sup>2</sup> Ardagh warehouse on Erf 20414 in Bellville (ITS Ref. 4653) to assess trip generation rates for a similar development. The survey identified a trip rate of 0.02 truck trips per 100 m<sup>2</sup> GLA for the Ardagh warehouse. Applying this rate to the glass factory results in an estimate of 22 truck trips, which aligns with the projected 25 heavy vehicle trips.

### 3.2.2 Industrial development on Erf 1690

The TIA for Erf 1690 evaluated a 42 567 m<sup>2</sup> GLA industrial development (ITS Ref. 3790). The industrial development is expected to generate a total of 285 weekday peak-hour trips (see Table 3).

Table 3: Land Use and Expected Impact – Erf 1690

Erven	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
1690	Industrial	42 567 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	214	71	285	71	241	285

Based on aerial imagery, construction has already commenced for certain portions of this industrial development.

### 3.2.3 Industrial development on Erven 1693 and 1870

A 19 016 m<sup>2</sup> GLA industrial development was assessed in the TIA for erven 1693 and 1870 (ITS Ref. 4152). Table 4 indicates that the industrial development is expected to generate a total of 130 weekday peak-hour trips.

Table 4: Land Use and Expected Impact – Erven 1693 and 1870

Erven	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
1693 and 1870	Industrial	19 016 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	91	39	130	33	97	130

Based on aerial imagery, construction has already commenced for certain portions of this industrial development.

### 3.2.4 Storage Facility on Portion 32 of Farm 168

EFG Engineers conducted a transport impact statement (TIS) for a Storage Facility on Portion 32 of Farm 168 in 2015. The TIS assessed a Storage Facility with 6 100 units and its expected is summarised in Table 5.

Table 5: Land Use and Expected Impact – Portion 32 of Farm 168

Erven	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Portion 32 of Farm 168	Storage Facility	6 100 units	57	57	115	52	52	105

### 3.2.5 Apollo Bricks

The Apollo Bricks development on Portion 42 of Cape Farm 168 was approved for rezoning and subdivision in 2019. The approved conditions considered a three-phased industrial development according to Table 6.

Table 6: Land Use and Expected Impact – Portion 42 of Farm 168

Erven	Phase	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
				In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Portion 42 of Farm 168	1	Industrial	70 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	381	95	476	95	381	476
	2	Industrial	35 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	190	48	238	48	190	238
	3	Industrial	15 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	82	20	102	20	82	102
Total			120 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	653	163	816	163	653	816

It is important to note that per Section 2.8 of the 2019 conditions of approval, it was recommended that an updated TIA be prepared, should the approved TIA become outdated, to evaluate the expected impacts of Phase 2 of the Apollo Bricks development. However, their approval has since lapsed and subsequently omitted from this report.

### 3.2.6 Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4

The Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4 development was approved in 2019 and consists of three sub-phases (Phase 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3). A trip generation assessment was prepared in 2020 for an

amendment of the land uses. This confirmed that no changes were required for the conditions of approval from a transport perspective.

Certain portions of Phase 4.1 have already been built, and transfers are currently being negotiated and signed for Phase 4.2 between the property owner and prospective developers of specific portions. This has triggered the need to update the TIA for Phase 4.2 (ITS Ref. 3932.6) as per the conditions in the land use application approval. All transport infrastructure improvements as conditioned for Phase 4.1 have already been implemented and no further conditions can be stipulated for this phase provided the trip impact is less than the approval.

The updated (2024) land use extents and their expected development trips are summarised in Table 7.

Table 7: Updated (2024) Land Use and Expected Impact – Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4

Phase	Portion	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
				In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
4.1	1	Independent Combined School	558 students	232	182	414	78	54	133
	2 & 4	Independent Institute for Higher Education	4 000 students	640	160	800	240	560	800
	3	Student Apartments	362 units	18	54	72	71	38	109
	5, 6, 7	Multi-level Townhouses	545 units	85	255	340	249	107	355
	5, 6, 7	Retail	7 600 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	75	41	116	329	329	657
	5, 6, 7	Office	2 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	29	5	34	7	27	34
	8	Multi-level Townhouses	65 units	10	30	40	30	13	42
	12	Multi-level Townhouses	157 units	24	73	98	72	31	102
	5	Medical	3 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	30	20	50	18	27	45
Phase 4.1 Subtotal				1 143	820	1 963	1 092	1 185	2 277
4.2	24	Multi-level Townhouses	293 units	46	137	183	134	57	191
	29	Retirement Village	1 080 units	144	215	359	180	180	359
	23	Multi-level Townhouses	97 units	15	45	60	44	19	63
	23	Office	12 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	171	30	202	40	161	202
Phase 4.2 Subtotal				376	427	804	398	417	815
4.3	26	Multi-level Townhouses	811 units	126	379	505	370	159	529
	26	Retail	400 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	4	2	6	17	17	35
Phase 4.3 Subtotal				130	381	511	388	176	563
Total				1 649	1 629	3 279	1 878	1 778	3 656

### 3.2.7 Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5

The most recent amendments (ITS Ref. 4071.2) to the approved TIA, detailing the land use extents and net new development trips for the mixed-use Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5 development, are summarised in Table 8.

Table 8: Land Use and Expected Impact – Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5

Development	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5	Health and Fitness Centre (Gym)	2 460 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	53	53	106	119	79	198
	Townhouses (Simplexes and Duplexes)	240 units	43	130	173	122	52	174
	Arms Dealership & Shooting Range	16 lanes	4	2	6	9	11	20
	Office	1 580 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	22	4	26	6	22	28
	Shopping Centre	26 302 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	102	38	140	501	513	1 014
	Fast Food	330 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	36	29	65	39	32	71
Total			260	256	516	796	709	1 505

### 3.2.8 Bella Riva

The Bella Riva development will include multiple development phases and short to long-term bulk infrastructure upgrades associated with the development build-out are proposed. Bella Riva was previously approved, but recent updates have been made to the land use extents. The updated land use extents and their associated development trips per phase are summarised in Table 9.

Table 9: Land Use and Expected Impact – Bella Riva

Phase	Land Use	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
1	Apartments & Flats	1 181 units	163	489	653	457	196	653
	Townhouses (Simplexes and Duplexes)	571 units	103	309	413	289	124	413
	Shopping Centre	6 250 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	62	33	95	270	270	540
Phase 1 Subtotal			328	832	1 160	1 016	590	1 606
2	Single Dwelling Units	81 units	18	55	73	51	22	73
	Apartments & Flats	342 units	47	142	189	132	57	189
	Private School	2 000 students	560	560	1 120	210	210	420
	Pre-School (Day Care Centre)	500 students	238	238	475	190	190	380
	Offices	2 000 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	29	5	34	7	27	34
	Shopping Centre	1 750 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	17	9	27	76	76	151
Phase 2 Subtotal			909	1 008	1 917	666	581	1 247
3	Single Dwelling Units	894 units	201	603	805	563	241	805
Phase 3 Subtotal			201	603	805	563	241	805
Total			1 438	2 444	3 882	2 245	1 412	3 657

According to recent information received from Bella Riva transport consultants (Sturgeon Consulting), a five-year horizon will be considered for Phase 1, and a 10 to 15-year horizon will be considered for Phases 2 and 3. However, it is likely that Phases 2 and 3 will only realise in the 15-year horizon. Conditions directly related to the proposed CWA include:

- Minor Roads 6/8 and 59 in the east-west direction will be closed. The East-West link road will be constructed from Klipheuwel Road up to the first access point for Phase 1 of the Bella Riva development (five-year horizon).
- Minor Road 6/8 (north-south) or also known as Mellish Road will be used as access from Lichtenburg Road (R312) until signalisation is warranted/required. For this condition, the proposed Lucullus Road northern extension will be required. The Lucullus Road northern extension will only be constructed as part of Phase 2 and 3 of the Bella Riva development (10 to 15-year horizon).

See Figure 13 for the latest Bella Riva SDP.






Figure 13: Bella Riva SDP (source: Sturgeon Consulting, 2024)

### 3.2.9 Greenville Garden City

The Greenville Garden City development is planned to be developed over multiple phases. The subdivisional plan (see Figure 14) has been established and indicates the alignment of Lucullus Road onto Lichtenburg Road (R312).

Only Phases 1 to 3 of the Greenville Garden City development has been approved and construction has already commenced. According to recent information received from Greenville Garden City transport consultants (GIBB), the upcoming Phase 4 of Greenville Garden City development will be swapped with Phase 5 in Figure 14. The development of Phase 4 will include the completion of the construction of the Lucullus Road southern extension and the East-West link road connecting to the Darwin Road extension.

To determine what remains to be built for the remaining Phases 1 to 4, Google Earth historical imagery was used to estimate an annual construction rate. By counting the number of units built each year, an average construction rate of 340 units per year was determined. A calculated trip generation rate, based on the trip assignment for Phases 1 to 3.4, and the average construction rate, was used to estimate the development trips for the remaining portions, as summarised in Table 10.




Figure 14: Greenville Conceptual Land Use and Phasing Plan (source: mlh architects and planners)

Table 10: Land Use and Expected Impact – Greenville Garden City

Development	Horizon Year	Extent	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Greenville Garden City	2029	1 715 units	162	357	519	363	228	590
	2032	1 029 units	97	214	311	218	137	354
	2050	6 174 units	582	1 285	1 867	1 305	819	2 124
Total			841	1 856	2 697	1 885	1 183	3 069

### 3.3 Background Traffic Growth

#### 3.3.1 Historical Traffic Growth

Based on data from the WCG Road Network Information System (RNIS), Klipheuwel Road (MR188) has a historical growth rate of 1.55% (see Figure 15). In contrast, Koelenhof Road (MR174) has a higher historical growth rate of 2.86% (see Figure 16).






Figure 15: Klipheuwel Road (MR188) Station Data (source: WCG RNIS)




Figure 16: Koelenhof Road (MR174) Station Data (source: WCG RNIS)

The surrounding major intersections to the development site were summarised by total entering volumes (TEVs) to establish the traffic growth over time. This is provided in Table 11 and Table 12 for the respective weekday AM and PM peak hours.

Table 11: Historical Traffic Growth - Weekday AM Peak Hour

Development	Total Entering Volume (TEV)					TEV Growth Rate Per Annum
	2019	2021	2022	2023	2024	2019 - 2024
Klipheuwel Rd/Lichtenburg Rd	1509	-	1633	-	1729	3%
Lichtenburg Rd/Boys Briers Dr	899	-	-	-	1052	3%
Lichtenburg Rd/Koelenhof Rd	1107	1164	-	-	1139	1%
Klipheuwel Rd/Arum Lily St	1636	-	-	-	1852	3%
Klipheuwel Rd/Darwin Rd	1706	-	1800	-	1978	3%
Klipheuwel Rd/Okavango Rd	1915	-	1998	2068	2215	3%
<b>Average</b>						<b>2%</b>

Table 12: Historical Traffic Growth - Weekday PM Peak Hour

Development	Total Entering Volume (TEV)					TEV Growth Rate Per Annum
	2019	2021	2022	2023	2024	2019 - 2024
Klipheuwel Rd/Lichtenburg Rd	1577	-	1564	-	1605	0%
Lichtenburg Rd/Boys Briers Dr	1009	-	-	-	1099	2%
Lichtenburg Rd/Koelenhof Rd	1032	964	-	-	1069	1%
Klipheuwel Rd/Arum Lily St	1748	-	-	-	1682	-1%
Klipheuwel Rd/Darwin Rd	1722	-	1698	-	1857	2%
Klipheuwel Rd/Okavango Rd	1933	-	1902	1878	2038	1%
<b>Average</b>						<b>1%</b>

Based on the historical volumes, the long-term growth rates (2019 to 2024) average between 1-2% per annum.

Despite the historical growth, the capacity analysis will only include the trips from future developments in the area, as it is very likely that the current traffic growth along these roads is a result of local developments in the area.

### 3.3.2 Future Developments and Traffic Growth Assumptions

Due to changes in land use extents for some future developments in the area, their expected horizon years, and the lapsing of certain approvals, the Background Traffic Conditions scenario will assess the reduced impact of the glass factory on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180, and Portion 13 of Farm 168. The Apollo Bricks development will be excluded, and only Phase 1 of Bella Riva will be considered. Based on the estimated construction rate for the Greenville Garden City development, only Phases 1 to 3 will be considered. As a result, the impact of the Lucullus Road southern extension and the East-West link road connecting to the Darwin Road extension was excluded from this scenario.



Two horizons were considered for the future developments: a 5-year horizon (2029) and an 8-year horizon (2032). If all the future developments are included in the 2032 horizon, the road network is expected to experience an increase of over 8 000 peak-hour trips. This represents an annual growth rate exceeding 9%, which is significantly higher than the historical traffic growth rate of 1 to 2% per annum. As a result, it is recommended to apply a more practical growth rate of 5%, which equates to the inclusion of approximately 50% of the future developments within the 8-year horizon. Accordingly, for the 5-year horizon, it was assumed that 30% of the future developments would realise.

### **3.4 Public Transport Network Planning**

In 2013, the City completed a long-term integrated public transport network (IPTN) plan for Cape Town. The IPTN is currently being updated, with the next update scheduled to be ready by 2026.

Figure 17 provides the future planned IPTN routes within the area. The nearest MyCiTi trunk routes to the CWA are the Durbanville CBD and Kraaifontein area. Feeder services from Fisantekraal are planned. Due to the City prioritising public transport services in the southeast metro, establishing MyCiTi services in the general Durbanville area will be long-term.

Public transport facilities are also planned within the Bella Riva precinct and would need to be planned to link up with existing and future services. Golden Arrow Bus Services (GABS) and MBT services are likely to satisfy future public transport demand until the integrated public transport network plan is implemented in this area.

The Fisantekraal rail line will provide increased commuter services if demand warrants this in the future. Discussions with PRASA's Regional Manager indicated PRASA's support to improve rail services to the Fisantekraal and Mellish stations. A possible rail link to the CWA is also being considered.




Figure 17: IPTN Plan 2032 (CITP, 2023)

### 3.5 Future Pedestrian and Cycle Network Planning

The City's long-term cycle route planning indicates that Lucullus Road is a proposed Class 2 cycle route. It is recommended to extend the Class 3 cycle route along Klipheuwel to Lichtenberg and also introduce a cycle route along the latter.




Figure 18: Cycle Route Planning (CITP, 2023)

## 4 ROAD ACCESS MANAGEMENT

The R312 (MR213) Arterial Management Plan, Greenville Garden City and Bella Riva developments, and discussions with CCT and WCG officials refer.

Table 13 provides the minimum access spacing requirements based on the Road Access Guidelines (Provincial Administration Western Cape, 2002). The future development environment along the major roadways will be suburban, as confirmed with the relevant road authorities. Accordingly, any signalised intersections along Class 2 roads would need to be spaced ideally at 800m.

*Table 13: Minimum Access Spacing*

Roadside Environment	Signalised	Unsignalised
<b>Class 2 roads</b>		
Semi-Rural	1 200	400
Suburban	800	270
Intermediate	540	180
<b>Class 3 roads</b>		
Semi-Rural	800	270
Suburban	540	180
Intermediate	375	120
<b>Class 4 roads</b>		
Semi-Rural	540	180
Suburban	375	120
Intermediate	275	90

The access spacing between driveways can be 30 to 40m along lower order roads.

The access management for Lichtenburg Road (MR213) is shown in Figure 19. The current alignment of the Lucullus Road southern extension as part of the Greenville Garden City development is approximately 100m to the east of the location provided in the AMP. This therefore results in the spacing to future Dulah Omar realignment to be approximately 900m and 700m spacing to the existing Mellish Road (major access in accordance with the AMP).





INNOVATIVE TRANSPORT SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD






Figure 20: Roads Master Plan (RMP)

## **5 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: CAPE WINELANDS AIRPORT**

### **5.1 Proposed Use**

The application is for the rezoning and consent use of an “airport” on the properties. The land use falls under Transport Zoning 1: Transport Use (TR1). The primary uses may include multiple parking garage, utility service, shop, restaurant, service trade, office, warehouse, rooftop base telecommunication station, minor freestanding base telecommunication station, minor rooftop base telecommunication station and container site. Consent uses to accompany the application may include business premises, places of assembly, place of entertainment, hotel, conference facility and a service station.

The CWA is divided into five precincts:

- Agricultural Precinct
- Terminal Precinct
- Services Precinct
- General Aviation Precinct
- Airport Air Side Precinct

Only four precincts are relevant to the TIA: the Terminal Precinct, Services Precinct, General Aviation Precinct, and Airport Air Side Precinct. Concept plans for these precincts can be found in Annexure B. The main use of the CWA would include Scheduled Services, General Aviation and Cargo Services. Further details regarding land use and passenger projections are provided in Section 5.4 of this report.

### **5.2 Opportunities to Access the Road Network System**

Various access opportunities to the road network system are ultimately available for the site west of the runway i.e. terminals, Fixed Base Operators (FBO’s) and hangers. These include the existing Mellish Road (OP 6/8) connection onto Lichtenburg Road, the future Class 3 Lucullus Road extension and the future Class 3 Mellish Road extension through Bella Riva. Site access for any development east of the runway will have the opportunity to access from Lichtenburg Road (R312) in accordance with the AMP.

The site access is largely factored by development timing/phasing (CWA and others), land ownership constraints and infrastructure costing. These options, in no particular order, are as follows:

#### **5.2.1 Option 1: Access via Mellish Road / Lichtenburg Road (R312)**

Access via Mellish Road for the initial phase of the CWA is considered the most viable in terms of external factors not impeding progress. The Bella Riva development is conditioned to upgrade this road to acceptable standards. The Lucullus Road extension will need to be built once signal warrants are met and the land has been expropriated for the northern extension.



Mellish Road may become obsolete once the Lucullus Road northern extension is built. It is proposed to assess the feasibility of converting the road for airport use in the future to avoid building two parallel roads (e.g. public road internal parallel routes).

Access from Lichtenburg Road is proposed to align with the road network planning for Greenville or vice versa. This option will require the realignment of Mellish Road. The future phases of Greenville may be amended subject to the approval of the CWA, and a consolidated location of an intersection with Lichtenburg Road must be found.

Access from the Class 2 Provincial Road will need to remain open to the public.

### 5.2.2 Option 2: Access via Mellish Road / Klipheuwel Road (R302)

The proposed Class 3 route through Bella Riva will ultimately be available for a public connection to the CWA. Similar to the Lucullus Road extension, the feasibility of a joint agreement to design and construct the road should be assessed. This route could then be an option if the Lucullus Road extension is not feasible in the short term.

Consultation with Bella Riva transport consultants and the CCT officials will confirm the preferred alignment of this route.

### 5.2.3 Option 3: Access via Lucullus Road extension

Access via the Lucullus Road extension is the preferred initial route. Joint funding from Bella Riva and the CWA could possibly be used to construct the road. However, agreements would need to be established between the developers as well as the current landowner to accommodate the existing operations of the chicken farm on the property.




Figure 21: Site Access Opportunities



It is envisaged that the phasing of the access will be (see Figure 21):

1. Mellish / Lichtenburg (interim main access)
2. Bella Riva Class 3 (constructed by Bella Riva)
3. Lucullus Road (future planned access)

### 5.3 Airport Phasing

The CWA will be developed in multiple phases, with Phase 1 (PAL 1A) planned to be operational by 2029. The phasing will be market driven with the initial phase being the core terminals towards the north of the site. The site will then be developed south towards Lichtenburg Road with any remaining FBOs and hangers. The current estimation of completion of the final phase (PAL 4) is by 2050. See Table 14 for the PAL timeframes and passenger estimates.

Table 14: CWA Phasing

Planning Activity Level (PAL)	PAL Year	Million Annual Passengers (MAP)	EIA Phase
1A	2029	1.7	Phase 1
1B	2032	2.5	
2	2038	3.5	Phase 2
3	2044	4.4	
4	2050	5.2	

### 5.4 Estimated Vehicle Trip Generation

#### 5.4.1 Trip Generation Rates

The trip generation rates for the commercial airport traffic were derived from data collected at Cape Town International Airport (CTIA). Cordon counts were conducted on 4 November 2019 at 10 locations (see Figure 22) around the CTIA to determine the number of vehicles entering and exiting through the various access points. It is important to note that the vehicles recorded during the cordon counts include trips generated by the airport and all associated supplemental uses within the Cape CTIA, except for the warehousing and industrial land uses. These land uses have separate access routes to the CTIA, which were not captured in the counts.



Figure 22: Cordon Counts Locations at CTIA

Based on these cordon counts, the following peak-hour trip rates were calculated:

- Weekday AM Peak Hour: 136.34 trips per million annual airline passengers
- Weekday PM Peak Hour: 253.64 trips per million annual airline passengers

Figure 23 provides a summary of the counting station data for Airport Approach Road at the CTIA.



Figure 23: Airport Approach Road Counting Station Data

A Daily Conversion Factor of 13.15 was derived from the 24-hour profile at the CTIA Airport Approach Road counting station for the airport traffic. A daily trip rate of 3 335.51 trips per million annual airline passengers was determined.

To determine the vehicular trip generation rates, the supplemental land uses for the airport were categorised based on the land use classifications in COTO TMH 17. A summary of the proposed land uses is presented in Table 15. It is important to note that a GLA factor of 0.85 was applied to the office and retail land uses, and a GLA factor of 1.00 was applied to the warehouse land uses.

To avoid 'double counting' development trips, an externalisation factor was applied to the supplemental uses since the trip generation rates for airport traffic already include these trips. The following externalisation factors were applied to the supplemental uses:

- COTO 710, Offices – 10%
- COTO 310, Hotel, Residential – 10%
- COTO 820, Shopping Centre – 30%
- COTO 150, Warehousing and Distribution – 100%

When accounting for the airport area (including hangars, terminals, parking, etc.) and all associated supplementary uses, the total GLA for the full buildout (PAL 4) of the CWA is estimated at 350 000 m<sup>2</sup>.

Table 15: CWA Land Use Extents

Horizon Year	Planning Activity Level (PAL)	Annual Passengers	COTO Land Use	Extent	Notes
2029	PAL 1A	1 700 000	COTO 710, Offices	17 369 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	
			COTO 150, Warehousing and Distribution	18 066 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	
			COTO 946, Filling Station	1 station	
			COTO 820, Shopping Centre	12 607 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	Includes retail and restaurants
			COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	150 rooms	One hotel with 150 rooms
2032	PAL 1B	2 500 000	COTO 710, Offices	25 543 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	
			COTO 150, Warehousing and Distribution	26 567 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	
			COTO 946, Filling Station	1 station	
			COTO 820, Shopping Centre	18 540 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	Includes retail and restaurants
			COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	150 rooms	One hotel with 150 rooms
2050	PAL 4	5 200 000	COTO 710, Offices	53 601 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	
			COTO 150, Warehousing and Distribution	82 398 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	
			COTO 946, Filling Station	1 station	
			COTO 820, Shopping Centre	18 540 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	Includes retail and restaurants
			COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	300 rooms	Two hotels with 150 rooms each

## 5.4.2 Daily Trip Generation

Daily vehicle trip estimates for airport operations for the 2032 and 2050 horizon years are provided in Tables C1 to C3 in Annexure C. The total estimated daily trips are 9 460 by 2029, 13 205 by 2032, and 24 172 by 2050.

## 5.4.3 Peak Hour Trip Generation

Peak hour vehicle trip estimates for the operations of the airport for the 2032 and 2050 horizon years are provided in Tables C3.1 to C5.2 in Annexure C. The peak-hour trip estimates for the respective horizon years are as follows:

- 2029 Horizon:
  - Weekday AM peak hour: 420 total (324 in / 96 out)
  - Weekday PM peak hour: 864 total (480 in / 384 out)
- 2032 Horizon:
  - Weekday AM peak hour: 601 total (467 in / 134 out)
  - Weekday PM peak hour: 1 199 total (659 in / 540 out)
- 2050 Horizon:
  - Weekday AM peak hour: 1 314 total (1 004 in / 310 out)
  - Weekday PM peak hour: 2 228 total (1 151 in / 1 077 out)



Based on the estimated trip generation and multiple access points, single-lane roads with dedicated turning lanes should be able to accommodate the vehicle demand. However, it is suggested that multi-lane roads be constructed for the main public circulation route for more ideal vehicular flow. The planning of road reserve will make allowance for dualling when necessary.

## 5.5 Estimated Trip Distribution and Assignment

The estimated trip distribution for the CWA follows similar origin and destination patterns as the CTIA. Tracker data from the CTIA (see Table 16) shows that areas outside the metro, such as Paarl, Somerset West, and Stellenbosch, contribute to more than 15% of airport traffic. Some areas within the metro will likely prefer to travel via the N1 (SANRAL to upgrade the N1 interchange) and Lichtenburg Road to the CWA, as this route offers shorter travel times compared to Klipheuwel Road. Klipheuwel Road has several intersections that cause more frequent stops, leading to longer travel times.

Table 16: CTIA Tracker Data

Locations	Tracker Data
Cape Town	37%
Sea Point	9%
V&A Waterfront	7%
Bellville	13%
Rondebosch	1%
Stellenbosch	8%
Camps Bay	4%
Green Point	6%
Paarl	2%
Hout Bay	3%
Khayelitsha	3%
Somerset West	6%
Mitchells Plain	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>

Therefore, the following trip distribution was considered for Phase 1 (PAL 1A and 1B) of the CWA:

- 10% north along Klipheuwel Road
- 30% east along Lichtenburg Road
- 55% south along Klipheuwel Road
- 5% along Okavango Road

## 5.6 Public Transport

The peak period modal split from the CTIA cordon counts is shown in Figure 24. The modal split for the CTIA indicates that public transport accounts for 7% of total trips, with 1% by minibus taxis, 3% by buses, and 3% by pedestrians.




Figure 24: Peak Period CTIA Modal Split

The public transport split for the CWA is expected to be similar to that of the CTIA.

Public transport services should be scoped to link the CWA with planned and existing services. Bus stops should be provided near the terminal buildings.

Once the Fisantekraal commuter rail service is in operation, a shuttle service between the CWA and the rail station should be established. Such service will be demand driven and phased with the future development of the CWA.

The details of public transport facilities for the CWA will be finalised at a later stage. However, provision for these facilities must be included in the finalisation of the SDP.

## 5.7 Pedestrians and Cyclists

All public roads need to be designed to accommodate pedestrian and bicycle movements. Detailed of which can only be more refined upon development and finalisation of a refined master plan SDP.

## 5.8 Freight / Cargo

Prior discussions regarding cargo indicated that operations will be on the site east of the runway. Access from the external road can be provided along Lichtenburg Road in accordance with the access management plan and aligned with the future Greenville development. Any movement of cargo between the east and west of the site will be done internally via internal access roads. WCG will not accept movement along Lichtenburg Road. Recent proposals exclude development on the east of the runway and the accommodation of linking the east and west of the site for transport of people and goods is therefore not application.

There is also the possibility of linking the cargo to the rail. However, this will depend on the regional freight movement along the rail network/infrastructure and the type of cargo and its destinations.

These discussions will continue with the refinement of the layouts.

## **5.9 Site Circulation (Road Based Transport)**

Comment on the internal circulation will still be performed as part of further engagements. The connections to the public road system must be discussed and confirmed with the relevant road authorities.

In concept, the site will be separated by primary and secondary roads. A separate one-way system for drop and go's and access to the parkades is proposed. These routes also need to be linked with dedicated public transport services. Separate access points and circulation will be identified for the supplemental uses.

## **5.10 Internal Road Requirements**

Road reserve requirements are shown in Figure 25. Typical cross-sections for the internal roads per class and function are shown in Figure 26.

The main roads would ultimately have two lanes per direction. However, construction could be phased and only a single lane per direction would be required if vehicle demand does not warrant dual carriageways or significant turning movements.

Controlled access points to restricted areas need to be identified. Space for U-turns in from of any controlled access points should be provided.

The main road circulating adjacent to the terminals and parking area should include dedicated public transport, e-hailing and passenger vehicle stop and go zones. Details of this can be finalised with refined of the SDP and terminal layout requirements.

The access along Lichtenburg Road (R312) via Mellish Road as well as the need for the Mellish Road upgrade is largely dependent on the timing of the Lucullus Road northern extension.




Figure 25: Internal Roads – Subject to further refinement of the master plan and site plan




Figure 3-2: Typical Cross Sections of a Class 3 Minor Arterial road




Figure 3-4: Typical Cross Section of Class 5 Local Commercial and Industrial Roads

Refer to drawing series RD6.4A-C for the typical cross section details of Class 5 commercial and industrial roads.

Figure 26: Typical Cross-sections (City of Cape Town Roads and Stormwater Standards, v3, Feb 2022)



## 5.11 Parking

The CTIA makes provision for 682 bays per million annual passengers based on 2019 surveys done by ITS. Based on the CTIA parking provision, 1 705 parking bays should be provided for Phase 1 (PAL 1B) based on the projected 2.5 million annual passengers. The parking provision for any future phases of the CWA can be established based on actual parking demand based on the 2032 scenario.

Provision of parking within the FBO and hanger restricted areas can be based on the projected number of employees, number of hanger spaces and specific tenant requirements.

## 6 2029 CAPACITY ANALYSIS

### 6.1 2029 Background Traffic Conditions

The 2029 Background Traffic Conditions are based on 2024 peak-hour traffic volumes, plus the additional trips generated by future developments in the areas. This scenario assumes that the upgrades recommended in the 2024 Existing Traffic Conditions will be in place.

As discussed in Section 3.3.2, 30% of the future developments were included in the 5-year horizon. Table 17 summarises the background development trips included for this scenario, and Figures A3.1 and A3.2 in Annexure A illustrate how the background development trips were assigned to the road network.

Table 17: 2029 Background Development Trips

Development	Phase / % Included	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Glass Factory on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168	30%	13*	5*	17	5*	13*	17
Industrial development on Erf 1690	30%	64	21	86	21	64	86
Industrial development on Erven 1693 and 1870	30%	27	12	39	10	29	39
Storage Facility on Portion 32 of Farm 168	30%	17	17	34	16	16	31
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4	Phase 4.1 and 4.2 (30%)	448	351	799	431	474	905
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5	30%	78	77	155	239	213	452
Bella Riva	Phase 1 (30%)	98	250	348	305	177	482
Greenville Garden City	Remaining Phase 1, 2 and 3 (30%)	49	107	156	109	68	177
<b>Total</b>		<b>795</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>1 634</b>	<b>1 135</b>	<b>1 054</b>	<b>2 188</b>

\*COTO 120, Heavy industry/manufacturing AM and PM Peak split considered

The inclusion of future development trips will necessitate the dualling of Klipheuwel Road and the upgrading of several intersections. These intersection upgrades include:

- Klipheuwel Road/Mellish Road (Intersection 1):
  - Northbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Southbound – Construct a dedicated left-turn lane.
  - Westbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Intersection control – Install a traffic signal.

- Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road (Intersection 3):
  - Northbound – Construct an additional dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Westbound – Construct a left-turn slip lane.
- Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street (Intersection 5\*): Per the MR213 recommendations, realign Dulah Omar Street with the following configuration:
  - Northbound – Construct a shared through and left-turn lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Southbound – Construct a shared lane.
  - Eastbound – Construct a shared through and left-turn lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Westbound – Construct a shared through and left-turn lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Intersection control: Install a traffic signal.
- Lichtenburg Road/Koelenhof Road (Intersection 7):
  - Eastbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Westbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Intersection control: Install a traffic signal.
- Klipheuwel Road/CSG Plastics Access (Intersection 8): Realign the CSG Plastics Access to the Klipheuwel Road/Stangler Road intersection.
- Klipheuwel Road/Stangler Road (Intersection 9):
  - Northbound – Construct a shared through and left-turn lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Southbound – Construct a shared through and left-turn lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Eastbound – Construct a shared through and left-turn lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Westbound – Construct a shared through and left-turn lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane.

The above upgrades were adopted from previous development conditions. However, the priority-controlled intersection will continue to operate at poor levels of service. However, the signalisation of the Darwin Road intersection provides an alternative route. The upgrade of this intersection should therefore be reconsidered.

- Klipheuwel Road/Arum Lily Street (Intersection 10): Convert to a LIFO configuration, as per the recommendations of the MR188 AMP.
- Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road (Intersection 11):
  - Northbound – Construct an additional through lane.
  - Southbound – Construct an additional through lane.
  - Westbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Intersection control – Install a traffic signal.

- Klipheuwel Road/Okavango Road (Intersection 12):
  - Southbound – Construct an additional through lane.
- Klipheuwel Road/Olifantsrivier Avenue: (Intersection 13)
  - Northbound – Construct an additional through lane.
  - Southbound – Construct an additional through lane:
  - Intersection control – Install a traffic signal.
- Klipheuwel Road/Brackenfell Boulevard (Intersection 14):
  - Northbound – Construct an additional through lane.
  - Southbound – Construct an additional through lane.

The intersection upgrades and capacity analysis results for the 2029 Background Traffic Conditions are provided in Figures A4.1 to A4.3 in Annexure A.

Based on the capacity analysis results, the priority-controlled intersections along Klipheuwel Road and Lichtenburg Road are expected to continue to experience capacity constraints. However, alternative routes are available via the signalised Darwin Road and Dulah Omar Street intersections.

The proposed upgrades for this scenario include the dualling of Klipheuwel Road (MR188). Since MR188 currently has a proclaimed road reserve of 25m (minimum), additional land will be required to be expropriated to establish a new road reserve width of 40m, in accordance with the City's standards for Class 2 roads. From recent discussions with the City of Cape Town officials, the City is busy with this acquirement of the road reserve.

## **6.2 2029 Total Traffic Conditions**

The 2029 Total Traffic Conditions are based on 2029 Background Traffic Conditions volumes, plus the expected CWA development trips for the 5-year horizon. This scenario assumes that the upgrades recommended in the 2029 Background Traffic Conditions will be in place.

This scenario evaluates the impact of Phase 1 (PAL 1A) of the CWA, with the primary access via the realigned Mellish Road and the secondary access provided by the remainder of the East-West link from the first Bella Riva access point. Refer to Figures A5.1 and A5.2 in Annexure A for the peak hour trip distribution and assignment for the 2029 horizon.

The following upgrades are recommended for Phase 1 (PAL 1A) of the CWA:

- Lichtenburg Road/Mellish Road (Intersection 6\*): Realign Mellish Road with following intersection geometry –
  - Southbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane and a dedicated left-turn lane.
  - Eastbound – Construct a dedicated left-turn lane.
  - Westbound – Construct a dedicated right-turn lane.
  - Intersection control – Install a traffic signal.
- Mellish Road/CWA Access (Intersection 15):
  - Intersection control – Construct a dual-lane roundabout.

Refer to Figure A6.1 in Annexure A for the proposed upgrades, and Figures A6.2 and A6.3 in Annexure A for the capacity analysis results for the 2029 Total Traffic Conditions.

Based on the capacity analysis results, the priority-controlled intersections along Klipheuwel Road and Lichtenburg Road will continue to experience capacity constraints. However, alternative routes will be available via the signalised Darwin Road and Dulah Omar Street intersections.

### **6.3 2029 Total Traffic Conditions – Sensitivity Analysis**

A sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the impact of using only the Mellish Road/Lichtenburg Road access for Phase 1 (PAL 1A) of the CWA in the case that the Bella Riva East-West link to Klipheuwel Road has not been constructed in time. Refer to Figures A7.1 and A7.2 in Annexure A for the sensitivity analysis.

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the proposed upgrades for the 2029 Total Traffic Conditions scenario will be sufficient to accommodate Phase 1 (PAL 1A) of the CWA.



## 7 2032 CAPACITY ANALYSIS

### 7.1 2032 Background Traffic Conditions

The 2032 Background Traffic Conditions are based on 2029 Total Traffic Conditions volumes, plus the additional trips generated by future developments in the areas. This scenario assumes that the upgrades recommended in the 2029 Total Traffic Conditions will be in place.

As discussed in Section 3.3.2, 50% of the future developments were included in the 8-year horizon. Table 18 summarises the background development trips included for this scenario, and Figures A8.1 and A8.2 in Annexure A illustrate how the background development trips were assigned to the road network.

Table 18: 2032 Background Development Trips

Development	Phase / % Included	Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Glass Factory on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168	50%	22*	8*	29	8*	22*	29
Industrial development on Erf 1690	50%	107	36	143	36	107	143
Industrial development on Erven 1693 and 1870	50%	46	20	65	17	49	65
Storage Facility on Portion 32 of Farm 168	50%	29	29	57	26	26	52
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4	Phase 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 (50%)	825	815	1 639	939	889	1 828
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5	50%	130	128	258	398	355	753
Bella Riva	Phase 1 (50%)	164	416	580	508	295	803
Greenville Garden City	Remaining Phase 1, 2 and 3 (50%)	129	286	415	290	182	472
Cape Winelands Airport	Phase 1 (PAL 1A)	324	96	420	480	384	864
<b>Total</b>		<b>1 776</b>	<b>1 834</b>	<b>3 606</b>	<b>2 702</b>	<b>2 309</b>	<b>5 009</b>

\*COTO 120, Heavy industry/manufacturing AM and PM Peak split considered

The following upgrades are recommended for this scenario:

- Klipheuwel Road/Brackenfell Boulevard (Intersection 14):
  - Northbound – Construct an additional right-turn lane.

Refer to Figure A9.1 in Annexure A for the proposed upgrades, and Figures A9.2 and A9.3 in Annexure A for the capacity analysis results for the 2032 Background Traffic Conditions.

Based on the capacity analysis results, the priority-controlled intersections along Klipheuwel Road and Lichtenburg Road are expected to continue to experience capacity constraints. However, alternative routes are available via the signalised Darwin Road and Dulah Omar Street intersections.

### 7.2 2032 Total Traffic Conditions

The 2032 Total Traffic Condition scenario is based on 2032 Background Traffic Conditions volumes, plus the expected CWA development trips for the 8-year horizon. This scenario assumes that the upgrades as recommended in the 2032 Background Traffic Conditions will be in place.

The Total Traffic Conditions scenario assessed the development of Phase 1 (PAL 1B) of the CWA with the realigned Mellish Road access and the remainder of the East-West link from the first Bella Riva

access point as a secondary access. Refer to Figures A10.1 and A10.2 in Annexure A for the peak hour trip distribution and assignment for the 2032 horizon.

The following upgrades are recommended for Phase 1 (PAL 1B) of the CWA:

- Lichtenburg Road/Koelenhof Road (Intersection 7):
  - Northbound – Construct a left-turn slip lane.

Refer to Figure A11.1 in Annexure A for the proposed upgrades, and Figures A11.2 and A11.3 in Annexure A for the capacity analysis results for the 2032 Total Traffic Conditions.

Based on the capacity analysis results, the priority-controlled intersections along Klipheuwel Road and Lichtenburg Road will continue to experience capacity constraints. However, alternative routes will be available via the signalised Darwin Road and Dulah Omar Street intersections.

## 8 2050 CAPACITY ANALYSIS

A series of meetings were held with a Project Management Team (PMT) consisting of transport officials from the CCT and the WCG, as well as transport consultants from the Bella Riva and Greenville Garden City developments, to discuss the planning of the future road network in the area.

Following these consultations, the City's EMME model was updated to assess the impact of Phase 2 (PAL 4) of the CWA for the 2050 horizon. It was agreed that this approach is acceptable as detailed intersection analyses of the study area would not be accurate over such a horizon. However, the required road network capacities need to be established which is provided by the City's EMME transport model. The updated model included the total future development trips in the area, including those from the CWA, and evaluated the impact of the R300 northern extension. It also included several future road links, such as the Darwin Road extension, the northern and southern extensions of Lucullus Road, the East-West link to Klipheuwel Road, and the East-West link connecting the Lucullus Road southern extension to the Darwin Road extension. The WCG has confirmed that they currently plan to commence construction of the R300 northern extension in the first quarter of 2026.

Figure 27 shows the peak hour traffic volumes and lane capacities for the EMME model update.




Figure 27: Cape Metropolitan Transport Model – Future Network

The EMME model results highlight the necessity of upgrading Klipheuwel Road, Lichtenburg Road (between Klipheuwel Road and the Lucullus Road northern extension intersections), and the Lucullus Road northern extension to dual carriageways. Furthermore, the results highlight the importance of the East-West links and the impact that these links would have on the future Lucullus Road southern extension, as these routes serve as main connections to the airport.

The peak hour volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratios are provided in Figure 28.




Figure 28: Cape Metropolitan Transport Model – Future Network Capacities

The peak hour V/C ratios indicate that there will be sufficient capacity available on the road network to accommodate the airport and the future surrounding developments, provided that future road links, such as the Lucullus Road extensions and East-West roads, are constructed. The EMME model was also updated to include the impact of public transport in supporting future demand within the area. This information is presented in Figure 29.






Figure 29: Cape Metropolitan Transport Model – Future Public Transport Assignment

The EMME model results showed that the future road network will be capable of supporting future developments in the area, including Phase 2 (PAL 4) of the CWA. It is, however, recommended that an amended TIA be prepared after 2032 for each PAL once new SDPs are available and the latest traffic conditions can be assessed closer to the time. This approach was agreed by the PMT.

## 9 DEVELOPMENT CHARGES

To calculate the Roads and Transport Development Charges (DCs) for the CWA, a methodology was adopted that reflects the unique characteristics of airport-related development, given that the CCT DC Calculator does not provide a standard input for airports. The calculation was based on the actual demand observed at CTIA, which served as a benchmark for estimating trip generation rates.

For the Roads component, which includes infrastructure such as new road links, additional lanes, and intersection upgrades, vehicle trip generation was determined through cordon counts conducted at access points surrounding the CTIA terminal precinct. These counts captured all vehicle movements associated with airport-related land uses, excluding warehousing and industrial land uses that are accessed via separate roadways. Peak-hour trip rates per million annual passengers (MAP) were established from the cordon data, and a daily conversion factor – based on a 24-hour traffic profile – was applied to convert peak-hour rates into daily vehicle trips. The resulting daily trips, based on the

projected passenger demand for the CWA, were then used as input in the DC Calculator to estimate the Roads DC.

For the Transport component, which includes infrastructure for pedestrians, cyclists, and public transport users, the CTIA modal split during peak periods was used to estimate public transport demand at the CWA. This included determining the proportion of trips made by minibus taxis, buses, and pedestrians. Vehicle occupancy rates were applied to convert vehicle trips into person trips. The estimated peak period person trips for each transport mode were entered into the DC Calculator to determine the Transport DC.

Trips generated by warehousing and industrial land uses were calculated separately, as these uses were excluded from the CTIA cordon counts. The gross leasable area (GLA) for these land uses within the CWA was determined and input into the DC Calculator using the standard methodology provided for such land uses. This ensured a comprehensive and accurate estimate of DCs applicable to both airport-related and non-airport land uses within the CWA.

### 9.1 2029 Horizon

For the 2029 horizon, an estimated 1.7 million annual passengers are projected for the CWA. Daily trips were calculated using CTIA-based peak-hour trip rates and a daily conversion factor, resulting in 5 671 daily trips, which were used to estimate Roads DCs in the CCT DC Calculator. Public transport demand was estimated using CTIA's modal split, resulting in 44 peak period person trips (8 by minibus taxi, 20 by bus, and 16 pedestrians), which were used to estimate the Transport DC in the CCT DC Calculator. Additionally, 18 066 m<sup>2</sup> of GLA for warehousing and industrial uses was entered into the calculator using standard inputs, as these land uses are excluded from the CTIA-based trip rates.

Table 19 provides a summary of the inputs and results from the CCT DC Calculator for the 2029 horizon. It is important to note that all DC estimates have been rounded up to the nearest R50 000.

Table 19: CCT DC Calculator Inputs and Results for CWA 2029 Horizon

Category	Value
<b>Daily Trips for 1.7 Million Annual Passengers</b>	<b>5 671</b>
<b>Public Transport Person Trips per Peak Period</b>	<b>44</b>
<i>Minibus Taxis</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Buses</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Pedestrians</i>	<i>16</i>
<b>DC (Incl. VAT)</b>	<b>R32 050 000</b>
<i>Roads (Incl. VAT)</i>	<i>R31 150 000</i>
<i>Transport (Incl. VAT)</i>	<i>R900 000</i>

Based on the CCT DC Calculator results in Table 19, the total DCs for the roads and transport components of Phase 1 (PAL 1A) of the CWA amount to approximately R32 million.

## 9.2 2032 Horizon

For the 2032 horizon, an estimated 2.5 million annual passengers were projected for the CWA. Daily trips were calculated using CTIA-based peak-hour trip rates and a daily conversion factor, resulting in 8 339 daily trips, which were used to estimate Roads DCs in the CCT DC Calculator. Public transport demand was estimated using CTIA's modal split, resulting in 65 peak period person trips (12 by minibus taxi, 29 by bus, and 24 pedestrians), which were used to estimate the Transport DC in the CCT DC Calculator. Additionally, 26 567 m<sup>2</sup> of GLA for warehousing and industrial uses was entered into the calculator using standard inputs, as these land uses are excluded from the CTIA-based trip rates.

Table 20 provides a summary of the inputs and results from the CCT DC Calculator for the 2032 horizon. It is important to note that all DC estimates have been rounded up to the nearest R50 000.

Table 20: CCT DC Calculator Inputs and Results for CWA 2032 Horizon

Category	Value
<b>Daily Trips for 2.5 Million Annual Passengers</b>	<b>8 339</b>
<b>Public Transport Person Trips per Peak Period</b>	<b>65</b>
<i>Minibus Taxis</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Buses</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>Pedestrians</i>	<i>24</i>
<b>DC (Incl. VAT)</b>	<b>R47 100 000</b>
<i>Roads (Incl. VAT)</i>	<i>R45 800 000</i>
<i>Transport (Incl. VAT)</i>	<i>R1 300 000</i>

Based on the CCT DC Calculator results in Table 20, the total DCs for the roads and transport components of Phase 1 (PAL 1A and 1B) of the CWA amount to approximately R47 million.

## 9.3 2050 Horizon

For the 2050 horizon, an estimated 5.2 million annual passengers were projected for the CWA. Daily trips were calculated using CTIA-based peak-hour trip rates and a daily conversion factor, resulting in 17 345 daily trips, which were used to estimate Roads DCs in the CCT DC Calculator. Public transport demand was estimated using CTIA's modal split, resulting in 136 peak period person trips (26 by minibus taxi, 61 by bus, and 50 pedestrians), which were used to estimate the Transport DC in the CCT DC Calculator. Additionally, 82 398 m<sup>2</sup> of GLA for warehousing and industrial uses was entered into the calculator using standard inputs, as these land uses are excluded from the CTIA-based trip rates.

Table 21 provides a summary of the inputs and results from the CCT DC Calculator for the 2050 horizon. It is important to note that all DC estimates have been rounded up to the nearest R50 000.

Table 21: CCT DC Calculator Inputs and Results for CWA 2050 Horizon

Category	Value
<b>Daily Trips for 5.2 Million Annual Passengers</b>	<b>17 345</b>
<b>Public Transport Person Trips per Peak Period</b>	<b>136</b>
<i>Minibus Taxis</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>Buses</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>Pedestrians</i>	<i>50</i>
<b>DC (Incl. VAT)</b>	<b>R103 300 000</b>
<i>Roads (Incl. VAT)</i>	<i>R99 350 00</i>
<i>Transport (Incl. VAT)</i>	<i>R3 950 000</i>

Based on the CCT DC Calculator results in Table 21, the total DCs for the roads and transport components of Phase 2 (PAL 4) of the CWA amount to approximately R103 million.

The total estimated DCs for all future developments are provided in Table 22. The Durbanville Industrial (ITS Ref. 4541) and Groot Phesantekraal Phase 6 developments were also included since their development will affect the future road network planning for the area. It is important to note that all DC estimates have been rounded up to the nearest R50 000.

Table 22: High-level Estimated Development Charges

Development	% Included	Roads (Incl. VAT)	Transport (Incl. VAT)	Total (Incl. VAT)
CWA	100%	R99 350 000	R3 950 000	R103 300 000
Bella Riva	100%	R79 650 000	R1 900 000	R81 550 000
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4	100%	R105 750 000	R2 900 000	R108 650 000
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 5	100%	R36 700 000	R2 200 000	R38 900 000
Greenville Garden City	Estimated construction rate	R37 250 000	R8 500 000	R45 750 000
Industrial development on Erf 1690	100%	R14 200 000	R1 000 000	R15 200 000
Industrial development on Erven 1693 & 1870	100%	R6 350 000	R450 000	R6 800 000
Glass Factory on Remainder Farm 180, Portion 3 of Farm 180 and Portion 13 of Farm 168	100%	R45 400 000	R3 200 000	R48 600 000
Storage Facility on Portion 32 of Farm 168	100%	R36 650 000	R2 600 000	R39 250 000
Durbanville Industrial	100%	R75 550 000	R5 350 000	R80 900 000
Groot Phesantekraal Phase 6	100%	R214 800 000	R13 300 000	R228 100 000
<b>Total</b>		<b>R751 850 000</b>	<b>R45 650 000</b>	<b>R797 500 000</b>

Based on the estimated DCs in Table 22, a total of approximately R800 million is anticipated for the roads and transport components of the future developments in the area.



## 10 COST ESTIMATES

### 10.1 Intersection Upgrade Costs

A high-level cost estimate was completed to determine the anticipated costs associated with the proposed intersection upgrades. The estimated cost for each intersection is provided in Table 23. It is important to note that all cost estimates have been rounded up to the nearest R50 000.

Table 23: High-level Intersection Cost Estimate

No.	Intersection Name	Amount (Incl. VAT)
1	Klipheuwel Road/Mellish Road	R3 700 000
3	Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road	R5 050 000
5*	Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street	R9 850 000
6*	Lichtenburg Road/Mellish Road	R3 700 000
7	Lichtenburg Road/Koelenhof Road	R4 400 000
8	Klipheuwel Road/CSG Plastics Access	R10 950 000
9	Klipheuwel Road/Stanler Road	R13 600 000
10	Klipheuwel Road/Arum Lily Street	R10 950 000
11	Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road	R13 350 000
12	Klipheuwel Road/Okavango Road	R5 500 000
13	Klipheuwel Road/Olifantsrivier Avenue	R10 950 000
14	Klipheuwel Road/Brackenfell Boulevard	R11 650 000
15	Mellish Road/CWA Access	R4 400 000
<b>Total</b>		<b>R108 050 000</b>

Based on the preliminary estimates in Table 23, approximately R108 million will be required to implement the proposed upgrades at the study intersections.

### 10.2 Road Link Upgrade Costs

A high-level cost estimate was completed to determine the anticipated costs associated with the implementation of future road links in the area. The estimated cost for each road link is provided in Table 24. It is important to note that all cost estimates have been rounded up to the nearest R50 000.

Table 24: High-level Road Link Cost Estimate

Upgrade	Road Class	Carriageway	Extent	Unit	Amount (Incl. VAT)
Construction of Darwin Road extension to Amadeus Drive	3	Single	2.8	km	R94 350 000
Dualling of Klipheuwel Road between Olifantsrivier Road and Lichtenburg Road	2	Dual	3.1	km	R95 150 000
Dualling of Okavango Road between Mosselbank River culvert and Vatican Street	2	Dual	1.6	km	R48 550 000
Construction of the East-West Link between Okavango Road and Lucullus Road extension	3	Single	2.8	km	R78 200 000
Construction of the East-West Link between Klipheuwel Road and CWA Access	3	Single	1.8	km	R58 700 000
Realignment and construction of Mellish Road	4	Single	1.2	km	R21 300 000
Construction of Lucullus Road extension (North) between the East-West Link and Lichtenburg Road	3	Single	1.4	km	R34 300 000
<b>Total</b>					<b>R430 550 000</b>

Based on the preliminary estimates in Table 24, approximately R430 million will be required to construct the future road links.

### **10.3 Road Network Upgrade Summary and Funding Responsibilities**

When considering the combined cost of upgrading the study intersections and implementing the future road links, an estimated R538 million will be required. Additionally, approximately R800 million is anticipated for the roads and transport components of the future developments.

When comparing the cost of the upgrades with the available DCs, there are sufficient funds available to upgrade the road network. It is recommended that the costs of upgrading the road network should be divided and phased among the various developments to ensure that the road network can accommodate their development as they progress. However, the allocation of these funds must be agreed upon with the City of Cape Town.

The WCG is in the process of completing the design for the extension of the R300 to Wellington Road, which includes the dualling of Klipheuwel Road through the Brackenfell Boulevard intersection. As part of the further development of Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4, signalisation of the Klipheuwel Road/Olifantsrivier Avenue and the dualling of Klipheuwel Road between Brackenfell Boulevard and Olifantsrivier Avenue is also planned.

Further upgrades are planned as part of the Durbanville Industrial development, including the signalisation of the Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road intersection and the commencement of the design of the extension of Darwin Road. As part of Phase 3 of the Greenville Garden City development, the signalisation of the Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road is also planned.

Therefore, the funding and implementation of these specific upgrades will fall under the responsibility of the respective future developments and not the CWA.

In the case of the East-West Link between Klipheuwel Road and the CWA Access, responsibility will be shared between the Bella Riva development and the CWA, as both developments will utilise this road for access. It is therefore recommended that:

- The first access portion of the East-West Link (serving Phase 1 of Bella Riva) be constructed by Bella Riva, and
- The remaining portion of the East-West Link (from the Bella Riva access point to the CWA Access) be constructed by the CWA.

Based on the above, it is recommended that the following upgrades fall under the responsibility of the CWA for Phase 1 (PAL 1A and 1B):

- **Intersection Upgrades:**
  - Lichtenburg Road/Mellish Road (Intersection 6\*)
  - Lichtenburg Road/Koelenhof Road (Intersection 7)
  - Klipheuwel Road/Okavango Road (Intersection 12)
  - Mellish Road/CWA Access (Intersection 15)

- **Road Link Upgrades:**
  - Realignment and construction of Mellish Road
  - Construction of the remainder of the East-West link from the first access point for Phase 1 of the Bella Riva to the CWA Access

## 11 CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC

If it is assumed that all earthworks for the CWA construction will be sourced from existing quarries, mostly located west of the site, initial estimates indicate that approximately 875 000 m<sup>3</sup> of earthworks will be required for construction (worst-case scenario). With a truck capacity of 15 m<sup>3</sup>, this equates to approximately 58 167 truckloads. However, most of the earthworks will be done on-site to balance cut and fill areas.

The quarries can be accessed either via the existing surfaced road network or the gravel road network. Due to the poor condition of the gravel roads and the heavy loads expected, it is recommended that trucks use the surfaced road network. A detailed construction management plan must be developed for the CWA, ensuring that deliveries are scheduled outside peak hours to prevent congestion during peak periods. This will be done once a suitable contractor has been appointed.

It is important to note that the final quantities for earthworks will depend on the results of the geotechnical investigation for the CWA site. As a result, the initial estimates presented may change based on these findings.



## 12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The conclusions and recommendations from this TIA are as follows:

- **2024 Existing Traffic Conditions** – Most of the study intersections currently operate at an acceptable LOS during peak hours. However, several intersections, including Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road, Lichtenburg Road/Boys Biers Drive, and Klipheuwel Road/Arum Lily Street, experience significant delays (LOS F) during peak periods. Upgrades are recommended for Klipheuwel Road/Lichtenburg Road, including the installation of a traffic signal and additional turn lanes, which are expected to improve the LOS to B.

Planned future developments and access management plans (AMPs) for Lichtenburg Road (MR213) and Klipheuwel Road (MR188) include changes to intersection configurations and realignments, which are expected to reduce demand at some constrained intersections. Given these future plans, no further upgrades are recommended for the remaining intersections. Upgrades are recommended as part of the Background Traffic Conditions scenario and mitigation of these intersections will be done by these background developments.

- **Proposed Land Use** – The CWA is divided into four precincts: Terminal, Services, General Aviation, and Airport Air Side. The development of these precincts is subdivided into four Planning Activity Levels (PAL) based on projected airline traffic forecasts.

Phase 1 includes constructing a 3.5 km main runway and supporting infrastructure for land, air transport, and bulk services. Additional developments will include offices, hotels, residential areas, retail spaces, and warehouses. Phase 1 forecasts project 1.7 million annual passengers by 2029 (PAL 1A), rising to 2.5 million annual passengers by 2032 (PAL 1B). Phase 2 (PAL 4) airline traffic is expected to reach 5.2 million annual passengers by 2050.

- **Proposed Access Phasing** –
  1. Mellish Road will be the initial connection from Lichtenburg.
  2. Extension of the East-West link to Klipheuwel Road when Bella Riva constructs this. CWA to engage with Bella Riva landowner/developer to establish if feasible to build Lucullus Road extension and/or the East-West Class 3 road. The East-West Class 3 at this stage is the most likely to come first.
  3. The ultimate link will be via the northern extension of Lucullus Road once the EIA approval has been completed by the City of Cape Town. The alignment and road reserve requirements of Lucullus Road bordering the west edge of the site must be confirmed.

- **Development Trips** – The total estimated daily trips are 9 460 by 2029, 13 205 by 2032, and 24 172 by 2050.

The peak-hour trip estimates for the respective horizon years are as follows:

- 2029 Horizon:
  - Weekday AM peak hour: 420 total (324 in / 96 out)
  - Weekday PM peak hour: 864 total (480 in / 384 out)
- 2032 Horizon:
  - Weekday AM peak hour: 601 total (467 in / 134 out)
  - Weekday PM peak hour: 1 199 total (659 in / 540 out)
- 2050 Horizon:
  - Weekday AM peak hour: 1 314 total (1 004 in / 310 out)
  - Weekday PM peak hour: 2 228 total (1 151 in / 1 077 out)
- **2029 Background Traffic Conditions** – Given the multiple developments planned in the area, this increase in traffic will trigger the need for road upgrades, especially along Klipheuwel and Lichtenburg Roads. The proposed upgrades include the dualling of Klipheuwel Road, the installation of traffic signals at several intersections, and the construction of additional turning lanes. The Klipheuwel Road/Arum Lily Street intersection will be converted to a left-in, left-out (LILO) configuration as part of their access management plan (AMP).  
With proposed upgrades in place, capacity constraints are expected at some priority-controlled intersections. However, alternative routes via signalised intersections such as Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road and Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street will help alleviate traffic congestion.
- **2029 Total Traffic Conditions** – This scenario assessed the impact of Phase 1 (PAL 1A) of the CWA, with the realigned Mellish Road access and the East-West link from Bella Riva as a secondary access.

The proposed upgrades include the installation of a traffic signal at Lichtenburg Road/Mellish Road and the construction of a dual-lane roundabout at the Mellish Road/CWA Access intersection. As with the 2029 Background Traffic Conditions, capacity constraints are expected to continue at the priority-controlled intersections along Klipheuwel and Lichtenburg Roads. However, alternative routes via signalised intersections on Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road and Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street will help alleviate congestion.

- **2029 Sensitivity Analysis** – A sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the impact of using only the Mellish Road/Lichtenburg Road access for Phase 1 (PAL 1A) of the CWA. The capacity analysis results show that the proposed upgrades in the 2029 Total Traffic Conditions scenario will be sufficient to accommodate the traffic generated by Phase 1 (PAL 1A). Mellish Road is therefore the only access required to accommodate the CWA Phase 1 (PAL 1A) traffic. It is, however, recommended that the East-West link across Bella Riva Phase 1 be extended to the airport by CWA when the road reserve is available.

- **2032 Background Traffic Conditions** – Due to the added background development trips, this increase in traffic will trigger the need for upgrades at the Klipheuwel Road/Brackenfell Boulevard intersection.

With proposed upgrades in place, capacity constraints are expected at some priority-controlled intersections. However, alternative routes via signalised intersections such as Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road and Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street will help alleviate traffic congestion.

- **2032 Total Traffic Conditions** – This scenario assessed the impact of Phase 1 (PAL 1B) of the CWA, with the realigned Mellish Road access and the East-West link from Bella Riva as a secondary access. This scenario requires upgrades at the Lichtenburg Road/Koelenhof Road intersection. As with the 2032 Background Traffic Conditions, capacity constraints are expected to continue at the priority-controlled intersections along Klipheuwel and Lichtenburg Roads. However, alternative routes via signalised intersections on Klipheuwel Road/Darwin Road and Lichtenburg Road/Dulah Omar Street will help alleviate congestion.
- **2050 Capacity Analysis** – The City's EMME model was updated to evaluate the impact of Phase 2 (PAL 4) of the CWA for the 2050 horizon. This update included the total extent of the future developments in the area and assessed the R300 northern extension along with several new road links, including the Darwin Road extension, and the extensions of Lucullus Road and the East-West links. The results indicated that the future road network will be sufficient to accommodate future developments, including Phase 2 (PAL 4) of the CWA.
- **Road Infrastructure Costs and Contributions** – When comparing the cost of the road network upgrades with the available DCs, there are sufficient funds available to upgrade the road network.

Several road upgrades, including the R300 extension and Klipheuwel Road dualling, will be undertaken by the WCG and other developments such as Groot Phesantekraal Phase 4, Durbanville Industrial, and Greenville Garden City. These upgrades are therefore not the responsibility of the CWA.

The responsibility for the East-West Link between Klipheuwel Road and the CWA Access is to be shared:

- Bella Riva constructs the first section to its Phase 1 access point.
- CWA constructs the remaining portion to the CWA Access.

It is therefore recommended that the following upgrades fall under the responsibility of the CWA for Phase 1 (PAL 1A and 1B):

- **Intersection Upgrades:**
  - Lichtenburg Road/Mellish Road (Intersection 6\*)
  - Lichtenburg Road/Koelenhof Road (Intersection 7)
  - Klipheuwel Road/Okavango Road (Intersection 12)

- Mellish Road/CWA Access (Intersection 15)
- **Road Link Upgrades:**
  - Realignment and construction of Mellish Road
  - Construction of the East-West Link (Bella Riva access to CWA Access)

The future developments will require several upgrades to be implemented as more than 4 000 peak-hour trips will be added to the road network. The construction of the R300 northern extension, along with new road links such as the Darwin Road extension and extensions of Lucullus Road and the East-West links, is expected to reduce the demand at some of the study intersections. Therefore, it is recommended that the construction of these road links be fast-tracked to ensure that the intersection upgrades are not abortive in the future.

Based on this assessment, it is evident that the impact of the CWA will be relatively low compared to the other future developments in the area. Hence, it is recommended that the airport be approved from a transport point of view and that an amended TIA be prepared in future to accommodate changes in intersection upgrades over time.




## REFERENCES

1. City of Cape Town, Comprehensive Integrated Transport Plan (CITP) 2023-2028, 25 May 2023
2. City of Cape Town, Spatial Growth Options for Cape Town, 2012
3. Committee of Transport Officials (COTO), TMH 16, Volume 2, August 2012
4. Committee of Transport Officials (COTO), TMH 17, Volume 1, August 2012
5. Western Cape Government, Access Management Guidelines, 2020
6. City of Cape Town, Standards and Guidelines for Roads and Stormwater, Version 3, 2022
7. Department of Transport, Guidelines for Traffic Impact Studies, PR 93/635, 1995
8. Provincial Administration Western Cape, Road Access Guidelines, Second Edition, 2002

## Annexure A

---

### Figures



LEGEND	
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL
	STOP/YIELD CONTROL



Notes:  
\* Volumes adjusted to account for different count dates



LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO






Notes:  
\* Volumes adjusted to account for different count dates




LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO


LANE  
CONFIGURATION  
AND  
INTERSECTION  
CONTROLS:



AM PEAK HOUR:



PM PEAK HOUR:



Notes:  
\* Volumes adjusted to account for different count dates

LEGEND

- TRAFFIC SIGNAL
- STOP/YIELD CONTROL
- EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES
- CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
- LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
- LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
- Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
- V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO







PROJECT:  
CAPE WINELANDS AIRPORT, DURBANVILLE

FIGURE:  
WEEKDAY AM AND PM PEAK HOUR  
2024 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WITH UPGRADES

NUMBER:  
A2.3





















LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO



LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO





EXPECTED DEVELOPMENT TRIPS			
PEAK PERIOD	IN	OUT	TOTAL
AM PEAK HOUR	324	96	420
PM PEAK HOUR	480	384	864




EXPECTED DEVELOPMENT TRIPS			
PEAK PERIOD	IN	OUT	TOTAL
AM PEAK HOUR	324	96	420
PM PEAK HOUR	480	384	864






LEGEND	
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL
	STOP/YIELD CONTROL
	ROUNDBABOUT
	EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES
	BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES
	TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES






LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO




LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO





LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO








LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO














LEGEND	
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL
	STOP/YIELD CONTROL
	ROUNDBABOUT
	EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES
	BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES
	TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES







LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO






EXPECTED DEVELOPMENT TRIPS			
PEAK PERIOD	IN	OUT	TOTAL
AM PEAK HOUR	467	134	601
PM PEAK HOUR	659	540	1 199




	PROJECT:	FIGURE:	NUMBER:
	CAPE WINELANDS AIRPORT, DURBANVILLE	WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR CWA TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT FOR 2032 TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	A10.2




TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS UPGRADES

## A11.1



LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO





LEGEND
CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALISED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE DELAY (SIGNALISED) / CRITICAL MOVEMENT DELAY (UNSIGNALISED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

## Annexure B

---

### Precinct Plans










PHASE 1 - Airport Terminal Precinct

0 16.9 m 32.5 m 65.0 m  
SCALE: 1:13000



Key Plan - PHASE 1 Airport Terminal Precinct

0 187.5 m 375.0 m 750.0 m  
SCALE: 1:15000

PHASE 1 - TERMINAL PRECINCT		
Name	Occupancy	Area
00	LANDSCAPED AREA	16538 m <sup>2</sup>
A01	PASSENGER TERMINAL BUILDING	13979 m <sup>2</sup>
A02.1	CAR RENTAL	1725 m <sup>2</sup>
A02.2	CAR RENTAL	11666 m <sup>2</sup>
A04.1	PUBLIC TRANSPORT	7516 m <sup>2</sup>
A04.2	PICK UP & DROP OFF	5569 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.1	PARKING	1827 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.2	PARKING	19515 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.3	PARKING	19590 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.4	PARKING	13469 m <sup>2</sup>

PHASE 1 - TERMINAL PRECINCT		
Name	Occupancy	Area
B09.2	GSE STAGING	3819 m <sup>2</sup>
B11.2	CARGO	17436 m <sup>2</sup>
B11.3	CARGO	14043 m <sup>2</sup>
C09	ENERGY CENTRE	3250 m <sup>2</sup>
C11.1	AS SS	600 m <sup>2</sup>

PHASE 1 - TERMINAL PRECINCT		
Name	Occupancy	Area
C11.2	LS SS	600 m <sup>2</sup>
E01.1	AIRPORT USE: HOTEL 1	2623 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.4	AIRPORT USE	9144 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.5	AIRPORT USE	9342 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.6	AIRPORT USE	19563 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.9	AIRPORT USE	3819 m <sup>2</sup>
E.1	AERO VINTAGE	1999 m <sup>2</sup>
E.2	RESTAURANT	1999 m <sup>2</sup>
F01	SERVICE STATION	9075 m <sup>2</sup>

MRO  
FBO  
GA  
GSE  
ARFF  
FATO  
WTWP/WTWW  
RDTS  
PAPI

Maintenance Repairs and Operations  
Fixed Based Operators  
General Aviation  
Ground Support Equipment  
Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting  
Final Approach and Take-off (Helipad)  
Wastewater Treatment Plant / Works  
Remote Digital Control Tower System  
Precision Approach Path Indicator

THIS DRAWING IS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF CAPEX PROJECTS AND MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO A THIRD PARTY. COPIES OR REPRODUCTIONS IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CAPEX PROJECTS. THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID UNLESS ISSUED BY THE PERSON INDICATED IN THE SPACE ALLOCATED FOR APPROVAL.

GENERAL NOTES

- \* ALL BUILDING WORK AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING STANDARDS ACT 1977.
- \* THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.
- \* ALL DIMENSIONS INCLUDE STEPS, ETC. TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE ANY WORK IS COMMENCED.
- \* ANY DISCREPANCIES, QUERIES, ETC. RELATED TO THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE REFERRED TO CAPEX PROJECTS BEFORE ANY WORK IS COMMENCED.
- \* REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL STEELWORK IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS.

DRAINAGE NOTES

- \* ALL DRAINAGE RUNS TO BE ACCESSIBLE ALONG THEIR ENTIRE LENGTH.
- \* V.P.I. TO BE CARRIED UP TO 2m ABOVE ANY WINDOW OR DOOR OPENING IN THE BUILDING ON ANY OTHER BUILDING WITHIN A DISTANCE OF 8m.
- \* INSPECTION EYES (i.e. 150mm) TO BE PROVIDED AT ALL RUNS AND JUNCTIONS OF SOIL AND WASTE PIPES.
- \* ROOFING EYES (i.e. 150mm) TO BE PROVIDED AT HEADS OF DRAINS AND AT A MINIMUM OF 50m SPACINGS ALONG RUNS OF DRAINS.
- \* MANHOLE COVERS TO BE PROVIDED AT GROUND LEVEL FOR ALL BELOW PAVING.
- \* RESEAL TRAPS TO BE PROVIDED TO ALL WASTE FITTINGS.
- \* SOIL WASTE DRAINS PASSING UNDER BUILDING TO BE ENCASED IN 150mm CONCRETE ALL ROUND AND BE PROVIDED WITH 1:4% FALL AS CLOSE TO THE BUILDING AS POSSIBLE AT BOTH ENDS.
- \* SOIL WASTE PIPES HAVING A VERTICAL DROP EXCEEDING 1200mm TO THE MAIN DRAIN TO BE ANTI-SIPHONED.
- \* ALL BRANCH DRAINS EXCEEDING 8m IN LENGTH TO BE VENTED.
- \* P.V.C. PIPES ARE TO BE LAID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.

FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS

- \* ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- \* ALL EXTINGUISHERS TO BE RETALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 540.
- \* FIRE EXITS TO BE RETALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 540.
- \* PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HAND ON PURPOSE WARE HOUSES AND LOCATED IN SECURE PORTIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- \* CLASS "B" FIRE EXITS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 540 AND TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- \* STRUCTURAL BUILDINGS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH ITT.
- \* FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- \* SYMBOLIC SHEET ISSUED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS REQUIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

2	ISSUED - BOUNDARY FENCE LINE ADJUSTED	2024-08-03
3	ISSUED - PHASE 1 UPDATED	2024-08-16
4	ISSUED FOR COMMENT	2024-08-16
5	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
6	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
7	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
8	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
9	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
10	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
11	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
13	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
14	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
15	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
16	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
17	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
18	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
19	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
20	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
21	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
22	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
23	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
24	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
25	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
26	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
27	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
28	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
29	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
30	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
31	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
32	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
33	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
34	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
35	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
36	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
37	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
38	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
39	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
40	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
41	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
42	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
43	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
44	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
45	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
46	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
47	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
48	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
49	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
50	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
51	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
52	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
53	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
54	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
55	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
56	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
57	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
58	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
59	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
60	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
61	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
62	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
63	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
64	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
65	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
66	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
67	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
68	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
69	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
70	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
71	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
72	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
73	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
74	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
75	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
76	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
77	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
78	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
79	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
80	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
81	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
82	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
83	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
84	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
85	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
86	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
87	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
88	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
89	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
90	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
91	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
92	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
93	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
94	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
95	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
96	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
97	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
98	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
99	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
100	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16



CLIENT

Client

Engineer

Registration No. Designer

SCAFID No.

CLIENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT

TITLE PHASE 1 TERMINAL PRECINCT

DRAWING CWA - PRECINCT PLANS

SIZE A0

DATE 2024-08-16


SCALE As indicated

DATE 2024-08-16

DATE 2024-08-16

DATE 2024-08-16





THIS DRAWING IS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF CAPEX PROJECTS AND MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO A THIRD PARTY. COPIES OR REPRODUCTIONS IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CAPEX PROJECTS. THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID UNLESS SIGNED BY THE PERSON INDICATED IN THE SPACE ALLOCATED FOR APPROVAL.

#### GENERAL NOTES

- \* ALL BUILDING WORK AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING ENDSING ACT (No 107 OF 1977).
- \* THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.
- \* ALL DIMENSIONS INCLUDE STELLS ETC. TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE ANY WORK IS COMMENCED.
- \* ANY DISCREPANCIES, QUERIES, ETC. RELATED TO THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE REFERRED TO CAPEX PROJECTS BEFORE ANY WORK IS COMMENCED.
- \* REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL STEELWORK IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS.

#### DRAINAGE NOTES

- \* ALL DRAINAGE RUNS TO BE ACCESSIBLE ALONG THEIR ENTIRE LENGTH.
- \* V.P.I. TO BE CARRIED UP TO 2m ABOVE ANY WINDOW OR DOOR OPENING IN THE BUILDING OR ANY OTHER BUILDING WITHIN A DISTANCE OF 8m.
- \* INSPECTION EYES  $\geq 1\%$  TO BE PROVIDED AT ALL RUNS AND JUNCTIONS OF SOIL AND WASTE PIPES.
- \* ROOFING EYES  $\geq 1\%$  TO BE PROVIDED AT HEADS OF DRAINS AND AT A MAXIMUM OF 50m SPACINGS ALONG RUNS OF DRAINS.
- \* MANHOLE COVERS TO BE PROVIDED AT GROUND LEVEL FOR UN-BELOW PAVING.
- \* REVEAL TRAPS TO BE PROVIDED TO ALL WASTE FITTINGS.
- \* SOIL INTERDRAINING PASSING UNDER BUILDING TO BE ENCASED IN 150mm CONCRETE ALL ROUND AND BE PROVIDED WITH  $\geq 1\%$  AS CLOSE TO THE BUILDING AS POSSIBLE AT BOTH ENDS.
- \* SOIL WASTE PIPES HAVING A VERTICAL DROP EXCEEDING 1200mm TO THE MAIN DRAIN TO BE ANTI-VIBRATED.
- \* ALL BRANCH DRAINS EXCEEDING 8m IN LENGTH TO BE VENTED.
- \* UPVC PIPES ARE TO BE LAID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.


#### FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS

- \* ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- \* ALL EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5403.
- \* FIRE HOSE REELS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5403.
- \* HYDRANTS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 110/PART 1.
- \* PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HAND OR PUMP OPERATED AND LOCATED IN SECURE PORTIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- \* CLASS "B" FIRE DOORS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 150 AND TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- \* STRUCTURAL BUILDINGS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH ITT.
- \* FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- \* SYMBOLIC SHEET ISSUED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS REQUIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

#### PHASE 1 - Services Precinct

0 25.0 m 50.0 m 100.0 m  
SCALE: 1:2000

64.49 ha



#### Key Plan - PHASE 1 Services Precinct

0 187.5 m 375.0 m 750.0 m  
SCALE: 1:15000

PHASE 1 - SERVICES		
Name	Occupancy	Area
B03	MRO HANGER	7216 m <sup>2</sup>
B05	AIRCRAFT SANITARY STATION	7216 m <sup>2</sup>
B06	AIRPORT MAINTENANCE	4980 m <sup>2</sup>
B07	CATERING BUILDING	Not Placed
B08	GSE MAINTENANCE	5997 m <sup>2</sup>
B09.1	GSE STAGING AREA	3998 m <sup>2</sup>
B10.1	FUEL FARM	6797 m <sup>2</sup>
B10.2	FUEL FARM	Not Placed
B11.1	CARGO TERMINAL	3500 m <sup>2</sup>
B13	ARFF	14536 m <sup>2</sup>
B14.2	OPS	7472 m <sup>2</sup>
B14a	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	3403 m <sup>2</sup>
B24.1	SUB STATION	260 m <sup>2</sup>

PHASE 1 - SERVICES		
Name	Occupancy	Area
C01	POTABLE WATER	1250 m <sup>2</sup>
C02	GROUNDWATER TREATMENT	1000 m <sup>2</sup>
C03	WATER PUMP STATION	1000 m <sup>2</sup>
C04	NON-POTABLE WATER	2500 m <sup>2</sup>
C05	SOLID WASTE	1250 m <sup>2</sup>
C06	WTPWW + LIFT STATION	1250 m <sup>2</sup>
C08	ESKOM INCOMING & LS SUBSTATION	8432 m <sup>2</sup>
C10	FIREFIGHTING WATER PUMP STATION	440 m <sup>2</sup>
C11	SUB STATION	460 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.14	AIRPORT USE	Not Placed
E04.15	AIRPORT USE	Not Placed

MRO  
FBO  
GA  
GSE  
ARFF  
FATO  
WTPW/WTWW  
RDTS  
PAPI

Maintenance Repairs and Operations  
Fixed Based Operators  
General Aviation  
Ground Support Equipment  
Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting  
Final Approach and Take-off (Helipad)  
Wastewater Treatment Plant / Works  
Remote Digital Control Tower System  
Precision Approach Path Indicator

2	ISSUED - BOUNDARY FENCE LINE ADJUSTED	2024-08-03
3	ISSUED - PHASE 1 UPDATED	2024-08-16
4	ISSUED FOR COMMENT	2024-08-16
5	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
6	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
7	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
8	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
9	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
10	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
11	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
13	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
14	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
15	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
16	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
17	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
18	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
19	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
20	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
21	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
22	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
23	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
24	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
25	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
26	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
27	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
28	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
29	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
30	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
31	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
32	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
33	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
34	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
35	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
36	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
37	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
38	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
39	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
40	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
41	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
42	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
43	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
44	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
45	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
46	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
47	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
48	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
49	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
50	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
51	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
52	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
53	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
54	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
55	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
56	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
57	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
58	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
59	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
60	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
61	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
62	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
63	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
64	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
65	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
66	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
67	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
68	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
69	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
70	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
71	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
72	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
73	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
74	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
75	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
76	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
77	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
78	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
79	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
80	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
81	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
82	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
83	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
84	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
85	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
86	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
87	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
88	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
89	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
90	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
91	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
92	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
93	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
94	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
95	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
96	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
97	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
98	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
99	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16
100	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-16



CLIENT

CLIENT

CLIENT

CLIENT

CLIENT

CLIENT

CLIENT



CLIENT

CLIENT

CLIENT

CLIENT





PHASE 1 - GENERAL AVIATION		
Name	Occupancy	Area
A03	GA/VIP/GOVERNMENT TERMINAL	6419 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.5	PARKING	10753 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.6	PARKING	2987 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.1A	FBO 1	5787 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.1B	FBO 1	1230 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.2A	FBO 2	5787 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.2B	FBO 2	1230 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.3A	FBO 4	5787 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.3B	FBO 4	1230 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.4A	FBO 3	5798 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.4B	FBO 3	1220 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.1	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.2	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.3	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.4	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.5	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.6	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.7	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.8	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.9	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.10	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.11	GA HANGERS	4678 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.12	GA HANGERS	4971 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.13	GA HANGERS	8512 m <sup>2</sup>
A16	GA CLUBHOUSE & FUELING	5204 m <sup>2</sup>

PHASE 1 - GENERAL AVIATION		
Name	Occupancy	Area
B11	SPECIAL CARGO FACILITY	1575 m²
B14.1	OPS	1500 m²
B17.1	ACCESS CONTROL	102 m²
B17.2	ACCESS CONTROL	100 m²
B17.3	ACCESS CONTROL	100 m²

PHASE 1 - GENERAL AVIATION		
Name	Occupancy	Area
E04.12	AIRPORT USE	6315 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.13	AIRPORT USE	4636 m <sup>2</sup>

PHASE 1 - GENERAL AVIATION		
Name	Occupancy	Area
PH.1	HELIPORT	6220 m²
PH.2	HELIPORT	6220 m²
PH.3	HELIPORT	992 m²
PH.4	HELIPORT	992 m²
PH.5	HELIPORT	8938 m²

THIS DRAWING IS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF CAPEX PROJECTS AND MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO A THIRD PARTY, COPIED OR REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CAPEX PROJECTS.  
THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID UNLESS SIGNED BY THE PERSON INDICATED IN THE SPACE ALLOCATED FOR APPROVAL.


**GENERAL NOTES**

- \* ALL BUILDING WORK AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING STANDARDS ACT (NO 103 OF 1977).
- \* THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.
- \* ALL DIMENSIONS AND LEVELS, ETC., TO BE CHECKED ON SITE, BEFORE ANY WORK IS COMMENCED.
- \* ANY DISCREPANCIES, QUERIES, ETC., RELATED TO THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE REFERRED TO CAPEX PROJECTS, BEFORE ANY WORK IS COMMENCED.
- \* REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL STEELWORK IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS.

### FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS

- ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 9005.
- HOSE REELS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 545.
- HYDRANTS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 1128 PART 1.
- PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HAND ON PURPOSE MADE BOARDS AND LOCATED IN SECURE POSITIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- CLASS "B" FIRE DOORS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 1293 AND TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH 777.
- FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- SYMBOLIC SAFETY SIGNS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH S.A.B.S. CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS INDICATED ON PLAN.






PHASE 1 - AIR SIDE		
Name	Occupancy	Area
D01.1	LOCALIZER	265 m <sup>2</sup>
D01.2	LOCALIZER	265 m <sup>2</sup>
D02.1	GLIDEPATH ANTENNA	500 m <sup>2</sup>
D02.2	GLIDEPATH ANTENNA	500 m <sup>2</sup>
D03.1	PAPI	252 m <sup>2</sup>
D03.2	PAPI	252 m <sup>2</sup>

**FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS**

- \* ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- a) EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5105.
- n) HOSE REELS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 548.
- c) HYDRANTS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 1128 PART 1.
- 1) PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HUNG ON PURPOSE MADE BOARDS AND LOCATED IN SECURE POSITIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- 2) CLASS "B" FIRE DOORS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 1363 AND TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- 3) STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH T77.
- 4) FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- 5) SYMBOLIC SAFETY SIGNS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH S.A.B.S. CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS REQUIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.





THIS DRAWING IS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF CAPEX PROJECTS AND MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO A THIRD PARTY, COPIED OR REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CAPEX PROJECTS. THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID UNLESS SIGNED BY THE PERSON INDICATED IN THE SPACE ALLOCATED FOR APPROVAL.

**GENERAL NOTES**

- \* ALL BUILDING WORK AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING ENDSORS AT THE 100% OF 100%.
- \* THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.
- \* ALL DIMENSIONS AND LEVELS ETC. TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* ANY DISCREPANCIES, QUERIES, ETC. RELATED TO THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE REFERRED TO CAPEX PROJECTS BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL STEELWORK IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS.

**DRAINAGE NOTES**

- \* ALL DRAINAGE RUNS TO BE ACCESSIBLE ALONG THEIR ENTIRE LENGTH.
- \* P.V.P. TO BE CARRIED UP TO 20 ABOVE ANY WINDOW OR DOOR OPENING IN THE BUILDING OR ANY OTHER BUILDING WITHIN A DISTANCE OF 5m.
- \* INSPECTION EYES 150mm TO BE PROVIDED AT ALL RUNS AND JUNCTIONS OF SOIL AND WASTE PIPES.
- \* FLOORING EYES 150mm TO BE PROVIDED AT HEADS OF DRAINS AND AT A MAXIMUM OF 50m SPACED ALONG RUNS OF DRAINS.
- \* MANHOLE COVERS TO BE PROVIDED AT GROUND LEVEL FOR ALL BELOW PAVING.
- \* REGULAR TRAPS TO BE PROVIDED TO ALL WASTE FITTINGS.
- \* SOIL INTERFLOWS PASSING UNDER BUILDINGS TO BE ENCASED IN 150mm CONCRETE ALL ROUND AND BE PROVIDED WITH 150mm AS CLOSE TO THE BUILDING AS POSSIBLE AT BOTH ENDS.
- \* SOIL WASTE PIPES HAVING A VERTICAL DROP EXCEEDING 1200mm TO THE MAIN DRAIN TO BE ANTI-SIPHONED.
- \* ALL BRANCH DRAINS EXCEEDING 60mm IN LENGTH TO BE VENTED.
- \* UPVC PIPES ARE TO BE LAID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.

**FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS**

- \* ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- \* ALL EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 9100.
- \* FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 9100.
- \* PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HAND ON PURPOSE MADE BRANDS AND LOCATED IN SECURE POSITIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- \* CLASS "B" FIRE DOORS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 1550 AND TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- \* STRUCTURAL BUILDINGS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH ITT.
- \* FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- \* SYMBOLIC SIGHTS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 1006 CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS REQUIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

14	ISSUED - BOUNDARY FENCE LINE ADJUSTED	2024-08-01
13	ISSUED - PHASE 1 LAYOUT	2024-08-01
12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-14
11	ISSUED TO PROFESSIONALS	2024-07-04
10	ISSUED	2024-07-04
9	ISSUED	2024-07-04
8	ISSUED	2024-07-04
7	Revised SUPPLEMENTARY Comment	2024-07-04
6	Revised SUPPLEMENTARY Comment	2024-07-04
5	Added A/CCT and Helipad	2024-06-20
4	FOR REVIEW	2024-06-10
3	FOR REVIEW	2024-06-10
2	FOR REVIEW	2024-06-10
1	FOR REVIEW	2024-06-10

Rev: Description Revision Date

**CAPEX PROJECTS** P.O. BOX 1000 6001

UNIT 10 First Floor  
BOSSMAN BUSINESS PARK  
BOSSMAN  
on Kelly & Bosman street  
2106

CLIENT

**CAPE WINELANDS AERO**

Client \_\_\_\_\_

Engineer \_\_\_\_\_ Registration No. Designer \_\_\_\_\_

CLIENT



**ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT**

**PHASE 2**

DRAWING CWA - PRECINCT PLANS

SIZE	DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE
A0	2024-08-01	2024-08-01	2024-08-01	2024-08-01
SCALE: 1:5000	DATE: 2024-08-01	DATE: 2024-08-01	DATE: 2024-08-01	DATE: 2024-08-01






FUTURE ROAD CONNECTION

Name	Occupancy	Area
B11.2	CARGO	17436 m <sup>2</sup>
B11.3	CARGO	14043 m <sup>2</sup>
B11.4	CARGO	22545 m <sup>2</sup>
C09	ENERGY CENTRE	3250 m <sup>2</sup>
C11.1	AS SS	600 m <sup>2</sup>

MRO	Maintenance Repairs and Operations
FBO	Fixed Based Operators
GA	General Aviation
GSE	Ground Support Equipment
ARFF	Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting
FATO	Final Approach and Take-off (Helipad)
WTWP/WTWW	Wastewater Treatment Plant / Works
RDTS	Remote Digital Control Tower System
PAPI	Precision Approach Path Indicator
ASS	Aircraft Sanitary Station

14	ISSUED: BOUNDARY FRAME ASSESSED	2024-06-06
15	ISSUED: PHASE 1 UPDATED	2024-06-19
12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-06-14
11	ISSUED TO PROFESSIONALS	2024-06-24
10	ISSUED	2024-07-04
9	ISSUED	2024-06-28
8	ISSUED	2024-07-03
7	Revised BORP/EA for Comment	2024-07-23
6	Revised BORP/EA for Comment	2024-07-23
5	Ackow ATC and Helpdesk	2024-08-01
4	FOR REVIEW	2024-08-23
3	FOR REVIEW	2024-08-28
2	FOR REVIEW	2024-08-03
1	FOR REVIEW	2024-08-29

How	Description	Review Date
	UNIT 10 Fast Feet BOSKUPUR BUSINESS PARK on Kaly & Brookside street 2188	

Tel: 011 726 400 8188



THIS DRAWING IS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF CAPEX PROJECTS AND MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO A THIRD PARTY. COPIES OR REPRODUCTIONS IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CAPEX PROJECTS. THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID UNLESS SIGNED BY THE PERSON INDICATED IN THE SPACE ALLOCATED FOR APPROVAL.

**GENERAL NOTES**


- \* ALL BUILDING WORK AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING ENDS/MEASUREMENTS ACT (No. 107 OF 1977).
- \* THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.
- \* ALL DIMENSIONS AND DETAILS ETC. TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* ANY DISCREPANCIES, QUERIES, ETC. RELATED TO THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE REFERRED TO CAPEX PROJECTS BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL STEELWORK IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS.

**DRAINAGE NOTES**

- \* ALL DRAINAGE RUNS TO BE ACCESSIBLE ALONG THEIR ENTIRE LENGTH.
- \* V.P.I. TO BE CARRIED UP TO 50mm ABOVE ANY WINDOW OR DOOR OPENING IN THE BUILDING OR ANY OTHER BUILDING WITHIN A DISTANCE OF 50m.
- \* INSPECTION LIES (s.s.) TO BE PROVIDED AT ALL RUNS AND JUNCTIONS OF SOLID AND WASTE PIPES.
- \* ROOFING LIES (s.s.) TO BE PROVIDED AT HEADS OF DRAINS AND AT A MAXIMUM OF 50m SPACINGS ALONG RUNS OF DRAINS.
- \* MANHOLE COVERS TO BE PROVIDED AT GROUND LEVEL FOR UN-BELOW-PAVING.
- \* RESEAL TRAPS TO BE PROVIDED TO ALL WASTE FITTINGS.
- \* SOLID WASTE DRAINS PASSING UNDER BUILDING TO BE ENCASED IN 150mm CONCRETE ALL ROUND AND BE PROVIDED WITH 1:4 SLOPE AS CLOSE TO THE BUILDING AS POSSIBLE AT BOTH ENDS.
- \* SOLID WASTE PIPES HAVING A VERTICAL DROP EXCEEDING 1200mm TO THE MAIN DRAIN TO BE ANTI-SIPHONED.
- \* ALL BRASS DRAINS EXCEEDING 50m IN LENGTH TO BE VENTED.
- \* UPVIC PIPES ARE TO BE LAID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.

**FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS**

- \* ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- \* ALL EXTINGUISHERS TO BE RETALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5403.
- \* FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE RETALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5403.
- \* PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HAND ON PURPOSE MADE BRANDS AND LOCATED IN SECURE PORTIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- \* CLASS "B" FIRE DOORS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 550 AND ARE TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- \* STRUCTURAL BUILDINGS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH TTT.
- \* FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- \* SYMBOLS SHOWN USED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 1186. CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS REQUIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.



64.49 ha

Name	Occupancy	Area
A08	PARKING	33217 m <sup>2</sup>
B03	MRO HANGER	22961 m <sup>2</sup>
B05	AIRCRAFT SANITARY STATION	7216 m <sup>2</sup>
B06	AIRPORT MAINTENANCE	10041 m <sup>2</sup>
B07	CATERING BUILDING	6400 m <sup>2</sup>
B08	GSE MAINTENANCE	5997 m <sup>2</sup>
B09	GSE STAGING AREA	3998 m <sup>2</sup>
B10.1	FUEL FARM	6797 m <sup>2</sup>
B10.2	FUEL FARM	6797 m <sup>2</sup>
B11.1	CARGO TERMINAL	3500 m <sup>2</sup>
B13	ARFF	14536 m <sup>2</sup>
B14.2	OPS	7472 m <sup>2</sup>
B14a	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	3403 m <sup>2</sup>

Name	Occupancy	Area
C01	POTABLE WATER	1250 m <sup>2</sup>
C02	GROUNDWATER TREATMENT	1000 m <sup>2</sup>
C03	WATER PUMP STATION	1000 m <sup>2</sup>
C04	NON-POTABLE WATER	2500 m <sup>2</sup>
C05	SOLID WASTE	1250 m <sup>2</sup>
C06	WTWW + LIFT STATION	1250 m <sup>2</sup>
C07	BIOGAS PLANT	30879 m <sup>2</sup>
C08	ESKOM INCOMING & LS SUBSTATION	8432 m <sup>2</sup>
C10	FIREFIGHTING WATER PUMP STATION	440 m <sup>2</sup>
C11	SUB STATION	460 m <sup>2</sup>

Name	Occupancy	Area
E04.14	AIRPORT USE	4820 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.15	AIRPORT USE	9094 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.16	AIRPORT USE	10993 m <sup>2</sup>

MRO  
FBO  
GA  
GSE  
ARFF  
FATO  
WTWP/WTWW  
RDTS  
PAPI

Maintenance Repairs and Operations  
Fixed Based Operators  
General Aviation  
Ground Support Equipment  
Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting  
Final Approach and Take-off (Helipad)  
Wastewater Treatment Plant / Works  
Remote Digital Control Tower System  
Precision Approach Path Indicator

14	ISSUED - BOUNDARY FENCE LINE ADJUSTED	2024-08-07
13	ISSUED - PHASE 1 UPDATED	2024-08-07
12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-14
11	ISSUED TO PROFESSIONALS	2024-07-04
10	ISSUED	2024-07-04
9	ISSUED	2024-07-04
8	ISSUED	2024-07-04
7	Revised SUPPLEMENTARY Comment	2024-07-04
6	Revised SUPPLEMENTARY Comment	2024-07-04
5	Added ATCT and Helipad	2024-06-20
4	FOR REVIEW	2024-06-10
3	FOR REVIEW	2024-04-03
2	FOR REVIEW	2024-04-03
1	FOR REVIEW	2024-03-28

Rev	Description	Revision Date
-----	-------------	---------------



CLIENT

CAPE WINELANDS AERO

Client

Engineer

Registration NO. Designer

CLIENT

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT

PHASE 2 SERVICES PRECINCT

DRAWING CWA - PRECINCT PLANS

SIZE

SCALE: As indicated DATE: 2024-08-07 DRAWING NO.: 2024-3297 402 REV: 14




Key Plan - Services Precinct






General Aviation Precinct



Key Plan - General Aviation Precinct



Name	Occupancy	Area
A03	GA/VIP/GOVERNMENT TERMINAL	6419 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.5	PARKING	10753 m <sup>2</sup>
A08.6	PARKING	2987 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.1A	FBO 1	5787 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.1B	FBO 1	1230 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.2A	FBO 2	5787 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.2B	FBO 2	1230 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.3A	FBO 4	5787 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.3B	FBO 4	1230 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.4A	FBO 3	5798 m <sup>2</sup>
A10.4B	FBO 3	1220 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.1	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.2	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.3	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.4	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.5	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.6	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.7	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.8	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.9	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.10	GA HANGERS	3200 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.11	GA HANGERS	4678 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.12	GA HANGERS	4971 m <sup>2</sup>
A11.13	GA HANGERS	8512 m <sup>2</sup>
A16	GA CLUBHOUSE & FUELING	5204 m <sup>2</sup>

Name	Occupancy	Area
B11	SPECIAL CARGO FACILITY	1575 m <sup>2</sup>
B14.1	OPS	1500 m <sup>2</sup>
B17.1	ACCESS CONTROL	102 m <sup>2</sup>
B17.2	ACCESS CONTROL	100 m <sup>2</sup>
B17.3	ACCESS CONTROL	100 m <sup>2</sup>

Name	Occupancy	Area
E04.12	TRANSPORT USE	6315 m <sup>2</sup>
E04.13	AIRPORT USE	4636 m <sup>2</sup>

Name	Occupancy	Area
PH.1	HELIPORT	6220 m <sup>2</sup>
PH.2	HELIPORT	6220 m <sup>2</sup>
PH.3	HELIPORT	992 m <sup>2</sup>
PH.4	HELIPORT	992 m <sup>2</sup>
PH.5	HELIPORT	8938 m <sup>2</sup>

MRO  
FBO  
GA  
GSE  
ARFF  
FATO  
WTWP/WTWW  
RDTs  
PAPI

Maintenance Repairs and Operations  
Fixed Based Operators  
General Aviation  
Ground Support Equipment  
Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting  
Final Approach and Take-off (Helipad)  
Wastewater Treatment Plant / Works  
Remote Digital Control Tower System  
Precision Approach Path Indicator

THIS DRAWING IS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF CAPEX PROJECTS AND MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO A THIRD PARTY. COPIES OR REPRODUCTIONS IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CAPEX PROJECTS. THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID UNLESS SIGNED BY THE PERSON INDICATED IN THE SPACE ALLOCATED FOR APPROVAL.

GENERAL NOTES

- \* ALL BUILDING WORK AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING ENFORCEMENT ACT (No 107 OF 1977).
- \* THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. USE FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY.
- \* ALL DIMENSIONS INCLUDE STELLS ETC. TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* ANY DISCREPANCIES, QUERIES, ETC. RELATED TO THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE REFERRED TO CAPEX PROJECTS BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL STEELWORK IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS.

DRAINAGE NOTES

- \* ALL DRAINAGE RUNS TO BE ACCESSIBLE ALONG THEIR ENTIRE LENGTH.
- \* V.P. TO BE CARRIED UP TO 20 ABOVE ANY WINDOW OR DOOR OPENING IN THE BUILDING OR ANY OTHER BUILDING WITHIN A DISTANCE OF 5m.
- \* INSPECTION EYES (s/s) TO BE PROVIDED AT ALL RUNS AND JUNCTIONS OF SOL AND WASTE PIPES.
- \* ROOFING EYES (s/s) TO BE PROVIDED AT HEADS OF DRAINS AND AT A MAXIMUM OF 5m SPACINGS ALONG RUNS OF DRAINS.
- \* MANHOLE COVERS TO BE PROVIDED AT GROUND LEVEL FOR ALL BELOW PAVING.
- \* REGRAD TRAPS TO BE PROVIDED TO ALL WASTE FITTINGS.
- \* SOL WASTE DRAINS PASSING UNDER BUILDING TO BE ENCASED IN 150mm CONCRETE ALL ROUND AND BE PROVIDED WITH (s/s) AS CLOSE TO THE BUILDING AS POSSIBLE AT BOTH ENDS.
- \* SOL WASTE PIPES HAVING A VERTICAL DROP EXCEEDING 1200mm TO THE MAIN DRAIN TO BE ANTI-SIPHONED.
- \* ALL BRANCH DRAINS EXCEEDING 6m IN LENGTH TO BE VENTED.
- \* UPVC PIPES ARE TO BE LAID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.

FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS

- \* ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- \* ALL EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5403.
- \* FIRE EXITS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5403.
- \* PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HAND ON PURPOSE MADE BRANDS AND LOCATED IN SECURE PORTIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- \* CLASS "B" FIRE EXITS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 5403 AND TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- \* STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH ITT.
- \* FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- \* SYMBOLIC SAFETY SIGNS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 5403, CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS REQUIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

14	ISSUED - BOUNDARY FENCE LINE ADJUSTED	2024-08-07
13	ISSUED - PHASE 1 UPDATED	2024-08-07
12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-14
11	ISSUED TO PROFESSIONALS	2024-07-04
10	ISSUED	2024-07-04
9	ISSUED	2024-07-04
8	ISSUED	2024-07-04
7	Revised SOPS&A For Comment	2024-07-04
6	Revised SOPS&A For Comment	2024-07-04
5	Added ATCT and Helipad	2024-06-20
4	FOR REVIEW	2024-06-10
3	FOR REVIEW	2024-04-03
2	FOR REVIEW	2024-04-03
1	FOR REVIEW	2024-03-28

Rev Description Revision Date



CLIENT



Client

Engineer

Registration NO. Designer

SAFOP NO.


CLIENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT

TITLE PHASE 2 GENERAL AVIATION PRECINCT


DRAWING CWA - PRECINCT PLANS

SIZE	A0	DWG NO.	2024-3297 403	REV	14
SCALE	As indicated	DATE	2024-08-07	DRAWN BY	CPK 02085





Airport Air Side Precinct



Key Plan - Airport Air Side Precinct

Name	Occupancy	Area
B01	AIRCRAFT PARKING POSITION	7225 m²
B02	MRO APRON	15374 m²
B12	CARGO APRON	10589 m²
B24.1	SUB STATION	260 m²

MRO  
FBO  
GA  
GSE  
ARFF  
FATO  
WTWP/WTWW  
RDTS  
PAPI

Maintenance Repairs and Operations  
Fixed Based Operators  
General Aviation  
Ground Support Equipment  
Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting  
Final Approach and Take-off (Helipad)  
Wastewater Treatment Plant / Works  
Remote Digital Control Tower System  
Precision Approach Path Indicator

Name	Occupancy	Area
C08	ESKOM INCOMING & LS SUBSTATION	7056 m²
C11.1	SUBSTATION	408 m²
C11.2	SUBSTATION	408 m²
C12	RDTS	225 m²
D01.1	LOCALIZER	265 m²
D01.2	LOCALIZER	265 m²
D02.1	GLIDEPATH ANTENNA	500 m²
D02.2	GLIDEPATH ANTENNA	500 m²
D03.1	PAPI	252 m²
D03.2	PAPI	252 m²

248.41 ha

THIS DRAWING IS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF CAPEX PROJECTS AND MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO A THIRD PARTY. COPIES OR REPRODUCTIONS IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CAPEX PROJECTS. THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID UNLESS SIGNED BY THE PERSON INDICATED IN THE SPACE ALLOCATED FOR APPROVAL.

GENERAL NOTES

- \* ALL BUILDING WORK AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS ARE TO BE CARRIED OUT IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING ENDS/ACT 1977.
- \* THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. USE DIMENSIONS ONLY.
- \* ALL DIMENSIONS INCLUDE STEPS, ETC., TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* ANY DISCREPANCIES, QUERIES, ETC., RELATED TO THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE REFERRED TO CAPEX PROJECTS BEFORE ANY WORKS COMMENCED.
- \* REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL STEELWORK IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS.

DRAINAGE NOTES

- \* ALL DRAINAGE RUNS TO BE ACCESSIBLE ALONG THEIR ENTIRE LENGTH.
- \* V.P.I. TO BE CARRIED UP TO 2m ABOVE ANY WINDOW OR DOOR OPENING IN THE BUILDING OR ANY OTHER BUILDING WITHIN A DISTANCE OF 8m.
- \* INSPECTION EYES (i.e. 150mm) TO BE PROVIDED AT ALL RUNS AND JUNCTIONS OF S.O. AND WASTE PIPES.
- \* ROOFING EYES (i.e. 150mm) TO BE PROVIDED AT HEADS OF DRAINS AND AT A MAXIMUM OF 50m SPACINGS ALONG RUNS OF DRAINS.
- \* MANHOLE COVERS TO BE PROVIDED AT GROUND LEVEL FOR UN-BELOW PAVING.
- \* RESEAL TRAPS TO BE PROVIDED TO ALL WASTE FITTINGS.
- \* S.O. INTER-DRAINS PASSING UNDER BUILDING TO BE ENCASED IN 150mm CONCRETE ALL ROUND AND BE PROVIDED WITH 1:4 V. AS CLOSE TO THE BUILDING AS POSSIBLE AT BOTH ENDS.
- \* S.O. WASTE PIPES HAVING A VERTICAL DROP EXCEEDING 1200mm TO THE MAIN DRAIN TO BE ANTI-VORTEXED.
- \* ALL BRANCH DRAINS EXCEEDING 80m IN LENGTH TO BE VENTED.
- \* UPVC PIPES ARE TO BE LAID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.

FIRE DEPARTMENT'S REQUIREMENTS

- \* ALL WORK IS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 400.
- \* ALL EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 1000.
- \* FIRE REELS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 540.
- \* FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS 1100 PART 1.
- \* PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE HAND ON PURPOSE MADE BRANDS AND LOCATED IN SECURE POSITIONS AS INDICATED ON PLAN.
- \* CLASS 'B' FIRE DOORS TO COMPLY WITH SABS 100 AND ARE TO BE FITTED WITH APPROVED SELF CLOSING OR AUTOMATIC CLOSING DEVICES.
- \* STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS AND COMPONENTS TO COMPLY WITH TTT.
- \* FIRE EXIT DOORS ARE TO BE FITTED WITH EMERGENCY EXIT LOCKSETS.
- \* SYMBOLIC SAFETY SIGNS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SABS. CODE 1186 AND POSITIONED AS REQUIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

14	ISSUED - BOUNDARY FENCE LINE ADJUSTED	2024-08-07
13	ISSUED - PHASE 1 UPDATED	2024-08-07
12	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	2024-08-14
11	ISSUED TO PROFESSIONALS	2024-07-04
10	ISSUED	2024-07-04
9	ISSUED	2024-07-04
8	ISSUED	2024-07-04
7	Revised SUPPLEMENTARY COMMENT	2024-07-04
6	Revised SUPPLEMENTARY COMMENT	2024-07-04
5	Added ATCT and Helipad	2024-06-20
4	FOR REVIEW	2024-06-10
3	FOR REVIEW	2024-04-03
2	FOR REVIEW	2024-04-03
1	FOR REVIEW	2024-03-28

Rev	Description	Revision Date
-----	-------------	---------------



CLIENT



Client

Engineer

Registration NO. Designer

SAFETY NO.

CLIENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT

TITLE PHASE 2 AIRPORT AIR SIDE PRECINCT

DRAWING CWA - PRECINCT PLANS

SIZE	DWG NO.	DATE	REV
A0	2024-3297_404	2024-08-07	14

SCALE: As indicated DATE: 2024-08-07 DRAWING NO.: CWA-0000



## Annexure C

---

### Tables

Table C1: 2029 Daily Trip Generation

WEEKDAY DAILY														
	LAND USE	EXTENT		TRIP RATE		% IN	% OUT	% HEAVY	SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	HEAVY VEHICLES
1	GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)													
	Offices	17 369	m² GLA	8.50	trips/100m2 GLA	85%	15%	5%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	148	126	22	7
	Hotel	150	rooms	3.25	trips/room	60%	40%	0%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	49	29	20	0
	Retail	12 607	m² GLA	80.63	trips/100m2 GLA	65%	35%	2%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	3 050	1 982	1 068	61
	Warehousing	18 066	m³ GLA	3.00	trips/100m2 GLA	60%	40%	10%	COTO 150 Warehousing and Distribution	100%	542	325	217	54
	Filling Station	1	station			100%			No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	0
	SUB-TOTAL										3 789	2 462	1 327	123
2	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC													
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	1 700 000	annual pax	3335.51	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	86%	14%	1%	CTIA information	100%	5 671	4 868	803	57
	SUB-TOTAL										5 671	4 868	803	57
TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS											9 460	7 330	2 130	179

Table C2: 2032 Daily Trip Generation

WEEKDAY DAILY														
	LAND USE	EXTENT		TRIP RATE		% IN	% OUT	% HEAVY	SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	HEAVY VEHICLES
1	GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)													
	Offices	25 543	m <sup>2</sup> GLA	8.50	trips/100m2 GLA	85%	15%	5%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	217	185	32	11
	Hotel	150	rooms	3.25	trips/room	60%	40%	0%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	49	29	20	0
	Retail	18 540	m <sup>2</sup> GLA	68.35	trips/100m2 GLA	65%	35%	2%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	3 802	2 471	1 331	76
	Warehousing	26 567	m <sup>2</sup> GLA	3.00	trips/100m2 GLA	60%	40%	10%	COTO 150 Warehousing and Distribution	100%	798	479	319	80
	Filling Station	1	station			100%			No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	0
	SUB-TOTAL										4 866	3 164	1 702	167
2	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC													
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	2 500 000	annual pax	3335.51	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	86%	14%	1%	CTIA information	100%	8 339	7 158	1 181	83
	SUB-TOTAL										8 339	7 158	1 181	83
TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS											13 205	10 322	2 883	250

Table C3: 2050 Daily Trip Generation

WEEKDAY DAILY														
	LAND USE	EXTENT		TRIP RATE		% IN	% OUT	% HEAVY	SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	HEAVY VEHICLES
1	GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)													
	Offices	53 601	m² GLA	8.50	trips/100m2 GLA	85%	15%	5%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	456	387	69	23
	Hotel	300	rooms	3.25	trips/room	60%	40%	0%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	98	59	39	0
	Retail	18 540	m² GLA	68.35	trips/100m2 GLA	65%	35%	2%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	3 802	2 471	1 331	76
	Warehousing	82 398	m² GLA	3.00	trips/100m2 GLA	60%	40%	10%	COTO 150 Warehousing and Distribution	100%	2 472	1 483	989	247
	Filling Station	1	station			100%			No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	0
	SUB-TOTAL										6 827	4 400	2 427	346
2	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC													
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	5 200 000	annual pax	3335.51	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	86%	14%	1%	CTIA information	100%	17 345	14 889	2 456	173
	SUB-TOTAL										17 345	14 889	2 456	173
TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS											24 172	19 289	4 883	519

*Table C3.1: 2029 AM Peak-Hour Trip Generation*

WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR												
	LAND USE	EXTENT	TRIP RATE	% IN	% OUT		SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	
<b>1</b>	<b>GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)</b>											
	Offices	17 369 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	2.10	trips/100m2 GLA	85%	15%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	37	31	6	
	Hotel	150 rooms	0.50	trips/room	60%	40%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	8	5	3	
	Retail	12 607 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	1.38	trips/100m2 GLA	65%	35%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	53	34	19	
	Warehousing	18 066 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	0.50	trips/100m2 GLA	60%	40%	COTO 150 Warehousing an Distribution	100%	91	55	36	
	Filling Station	1 station			100%		No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	
	<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>								<b>188</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>63</b>	
<b>2</b>	<b>COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC</b>											
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	1 700 000 annual pax	136.34	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	86%	14%	CTIA information	100%	232	199	33	
	<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>								<b>232</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>33</b>	
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS</b>									<b>420</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>96</b>	

*Table C3.2: 2029 PM Peak-Hour Trip Generation*

WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR												
	LAND USE	EXTENT	TRIP RATE	% IN	% OUT		SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	
<b>1</b>	<b>GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)</b>											
	Offices	17 369 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	2.10	trips/100m2 GLA	20%	80%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	37	7	30	
	Hotel	150 rooms	0.50	trips/room	55%	45%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	8	4	4	
	Retail	12 607 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	7.83	trips/100m2 GLA	70%	30%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	296	207	89	
	Warehousing	18 066 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	0.50	trips/100m2 GLA	45%	55%	COTO 150 Warehousing an Distribution	100%	91	41	50	
	Filling Station	1 station			100%		No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	
	<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>								<b>432</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>173</b>	
<b>2</b>	<b>COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC</b>											
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	1 700 000 annual pax	253.64	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	51%	49%	CTIA information	100%	432	221	211	
	<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>								<b>432</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>211</b>	
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS</b>									<b>864</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>384</b>	

Table C4.1: 2032 AM Peak-Hour Trip Generation

WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR												
	LAND USE	EXTENT	TRIP RATE	% IN	% OUT		SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	
1	GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)											
	Offices	25 543 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	2.10	trips/100m2 GLA	85%	15%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	54	46	8	
	Hotel	150 rooms	0.50	trips/room	60%	40%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	8	5	3	
	Retail	18 540 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	1.17	trips/100m2 GLA	65%	35%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	65	43	22	
	Warehousing	26 567 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	0.50	trips/100m2 GLA	60%	40%	COTO 150 Warehousing an Distribution	100%	133	80	53	
	Filling Station	1 station			100%		No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	
	SUB-TOTAL								260	174	86	
2	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC											
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	2 500 000 annual pax	136.34	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	86%	14%	CTIA information	100%	341	293	48	
	SUB-TOTAL								341	293	48	
TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS									601	467	134	

Table C4.2: 2032 PM Peak-Hour Trip Generation

WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR												
	LAND USE	EXTENT	TRIP RATE	% IN	% OUT		SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	
1	GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)											
	Offices	25 543 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	2.10	trips/100m2 GLA	20%	80%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	54	11	43	
	Hotel	150 rooms	0.50	trips/room	55%	45%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	8	4	4	
	Retail	18 540 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	6.64	trips/100m2 GLA	70%	30%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	369	259	110	
	Warehousing	26 567 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	0.50	trips/100m2 GLA	45%	55%	COTO 150 Warehousing an Distribution	100%	133	60	73	
	Filling Station	1 station			100%		No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	
	SUB-TOTAL								564	334	230	
2	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC											
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	2 500 000 annual pax	253.64	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	51%	49%	CTIA information	100%	635	325	310	
	SUB-TOTAL								635	325	310	
TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS									1 199	659	540	



Table C5.1: 2050 AM Peak-Hour Trip Generation

WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR												
	LAND USE	EXTENT	TRIP RATE	% IN	% OUT		SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	
1	GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)											
	Offices	53 601 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	2.10	trips/100m2 GLA	85%	15%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	113	96	17	
	Hotel	300 rooms	0.50	trips/room	60%	40%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	15	9	6	
	Retail	18 540 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	1.17	trips/100m2 GLA	65%	35%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	65	43	22	
	Warehousing	82 398 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	0.50	trips/100m2 GLA	60%	40%	COTO 150 Warehousing and Distribution	100%	412	247	165	
	Filling Station	1 station			100%		No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	
	SUB-TOTAL								605	395	210	
2	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC											
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	5 200 000 annual pax	136.34	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	86%	14%	CTIA information	100%	709	609	100	
	SUB-TOTAL								709	609	100	
TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS									1 314	1 004	310	

Table C5.2: 2050 PM Peak-Hour Trip Generation

WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR												
	LAND USE	EXTENT	TRIP RATE	% IN	% OUT		SOURCE	ASSUMED EXTERNALISATION FACTOR	PRIMARY TRIPS	IN	OUT	
1	GENERAL AVIATION AND ASSOCIATED LAND USES (NON-COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS)											
	Offices	53 601 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	2.10	trips/100m2 GLA	20%	80%	COTO 710, Offices	10%	113	23	90	
	Hotel	300 rooms	0.50	trips/room	55%	45%	COTO 310, Hotel, Residential	10%	15	8	7	
	Retail	18 540 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	6.64	trips/100m2 GLA	70%	30%	COTO 820, Shopping Centre	30%	369	259	110	
	Warehousing	82 398 m <sup>2</sup> GLA	0.50	trips/100m2 GLA	45%	55%	COTO 150 Warehousing and Distribution	100%	412	185	227	
	Filling Station	1 station			100%		No new trips generated	0%	0	0	0	
	SUB-TOTAL								909	475	434	
2	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS - DOMESTIC											
	Airfield - Per million Annual Airline Pax	5 200 000 annual pax	253.64	trips/million Annual Airline Pax	51%	49%	CTIA information	100%	1 319	676	643	
	SUB-TOTAL								1 319	676	643	
TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIPS									2 228	1 151	1 077	